



Connecticut Early Care & Education Progress Report Appendices, 2014 Sarah Iverson and Cyd Oppenheimer, J.D.

May 2015

These Early Care and Education Data Tables, which we produce annually, have been updated to include data from Fiscal Year 2014. They reveal that:

1. Connecticut's overall investment in early care and education has continued to decline. Total spending on early care and education decreased in FY 14 from FY 13 by \$4.2 million (1.8%) in inflation-adjusted terms, a change driven mainly by reduced spending in the State's child care subsidy program, Care4Kids. Spending in FY 14 is \$36.1 million less than our peak point of spending on early care and education in FY 02.
2. Fewer children ages 0-5 are receiving state subsidies for early care and education. The unduplicated number of preschoolers receiving state subsidized early care and education did increase between October 2012 and October 2013 by 295 children (0.9%). However, the unduplicated number of infants and toddlers served during the same time period decreased by 625 (6.9%), for a total net reduction of 330 children.
3. Fewer preschoolers with subsidies are participating in early care and education programs accredited by national organizations (such as Head Start or the National Association for the Education of Young Children). The percentage of preschoolers receiving subsidies attending accredited programs decreased by 6.3% (for a total reduction of 1,096 preschoolers). This decrease likely represents fewer preschoolers in Head Start and more attending charter and magnet schools, which may be of high quality but are not required to be accredited.
4. While early care and education subsidies predominantly go to the highest-need children, including those who come from poor and struggling families and communities and racial and ethnic minority groups, children in the poorest areas continue to attend preschool at a significantly lower rate than children in wealthier towns. Only 64.0% of kindergarteners in the poorest, most urban districts of the State (DRG I) had preschool experience, compared to 95.9% of kindergarteners in the wealthier, more suburban districts (DRG A).

Appendix A: Data Tables

Table 1. Amount Spent on Early Childhood Programming (in Millions, adjusted to 2014 dollars)

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Care4Kids¹	161.09	128.36	76.68	72.72	86.55	95.10	109.02	113.62	102.26	106.94	103.90	98.85	96.45
State funds	105.33	82.00	44.54	33.07	51.07	66.21	71.03	56.97	54.02	68.25	41.31	52.15	50.57
CCDBG federal funds	53.92	53.37	39.62	44.18	41.62	41.73	37.99	56.65	48.99	38.70	58.78	46.71	45.16
State-funded centers²	30.21	29.00	27.83	27.32	30.93	30.26	33.54	35.80	33.94	33.97	34.64	33.52	32.26
State funds (listed)	9.43	8.70	8.44	8.16	12.37	12.21	14.20	18.35	16.76	17.33	19.01	18.98	17.30
SSBG funds (listed)	20.76	20.30	20.64	19.16	18.56	18.04	17.38	17.44	17.16	16.64	15.63	14.54	14.97
School Readiness - Priority School Districts³	46.78	45.98	46.86	54.21	56.26	61.97	64.26	73.35	74.42	72.66	71.52	73.53	72.83
School Readiness - Competitive School Districts⁴	3.18	2.98	2.91	4.93	4.97	5.17	5.19	5.29	5.17	5.10	5.15	6.55	6.75
Head Start Services (state)⁵	3.93	3.55	3.47	3.36	3.25	3.16	3.07	2.90	2.85	2.91	2.85	2.67	2.61
Even Start Family Literacy Program⁶	2.73	2.90	2.49	2.12	1.95	0.72	0.72	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.48
Family Resource Centers⁷	8.12	6.81	6.01	7.76	7.52	7.31	7.04	6.71	6.27	6.40	5.96	7.76	7.52
TOTAL	256.04	219.58	166.25	172.42	191.43	203.69	222.84	238.17	225.40	228.48	224.52	223.37	218.90

Table 2. Amount Spent on Improving Early Childhood Programming (in Millions, adjusted to 2014 dollars)

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
CT Charts A Course (total)⁸	2.17	1.61	1.15	1.20	1.88	2.06	2.70	2.71	2.44	2.36	2.62	2.49	2.49
Head Start - Early Childhood Link⁹	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.44	2.32	2.28	2.21	2.17	2.04	2.09
ABCD Total Learning Project	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.48	1.41	1.39	1.35	1.13	1.05	0.95
Other HS Programs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.96	0.89	0.87	1.05	0.97	1.14
Head Start enhancement¹⁰	2.48	2.29	2.23	2.16	2.09	2.03	1.97	1.87	1.84	1.88	1.84	1.72	1.68
Preschool Quality Rating System: ECERS Assessment, Training, Quality Improvement Awards¹¹	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.22	0.67	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.002	0.00044
Quality enhancement for school readiness¹²	2.24	1.48	1.44	1.39	1.35	1.39	1.28	1.29	1.27	1.17	1.08	1.13	1.10
TOTAL	6.89	5.38	4.82	4.75	5.32	5.48	9.61	8.86	7.85	7.63	7.72	7.38	7.36

Table 3. Amount Spent on Early Childhood Infrastructure (in Millions, adjusted to 2014 dollars)

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Early Childhood Education Cabinet Administration¹³	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.29	0.54	0.53	0.00	0.04	0.12	UA	N/A
Community Plans for Early Childhood¹⁴	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.64	0.00	0.45	0.47	0.45	0.60
QRIS Plan Development¹⁵	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	UA	UA
Pre-K Facilities Technical Assistance and Plan¹⁶	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ECE Workforce Registry¹⁷	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.57	0.62	0.65	0.62	UA	UA
Data Development¹⁸	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01	UA	0.19
Research-Based Accountability Planning¹⁹	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
211 Child Care Referral Program²⁰	1.06	1.04	1.01	0.98	0.95	0.92	0.89	0.83	0.82	0.79	0.72	0.77	0.70
ECE Planning Team²¹	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	N/A
Parent Trust Fund	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.53	0.52	0.00	0.00
Other²²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	N/A
TOTAL	1.06	1.04	1.01	0.98	1.02	1.21	3.28	3.32	1.44	2.46	2.54	1.45	1.49

Table 4. Amount Spent on Early Childhood (Total) (in Millions, adjusted to 2014 dollars)

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Early Childhood Programming	256.04	219.58	166.25	172.42	191.43	203.69	222.84	238.17	225.4	228.48	224.52	223.37	218.90
Improving ECE Quality	6.77	5.28	4.73	4.66	5.22	5.39	9.44	8.70	7.71	7.49	7.58	7.20	7.36
Improving ECE Infrastructure	1.04	1.02	0.99	0.96	1.00	1.19	3.11	3.09	1.42	1.88	2.04	1.40	1.49
TOTAL	263.85	225.88	171.97	178.04	197.66	210.26	235.38	249.96	234.53	237.85	234.14	231.97	227.75

Table 5. Number of Infants and Toddlers Served in Early Childhood Programs

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Care4Kids²³	7,765	6,298	4,135	4,087	5,271	6,703	7,270	7,030	6,223	7,544	7,612	6,872	7,175
State-funded centers²⁴	1,088	1,097	1,146	1,126	1,070	1,057	1,160	1,163	1,248	1,324	1,162	1,234	1,230
Early Head Start²⁵	UA	439	439	439	439	439	439	719	930	716	726	756	660
Even Start²⁶	56	74	72	64	56	47	40	40	25	14	26	28	30

Table 6. Number of Preschoolers Served in Early Childhood Programs

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
Care4Kids²⁷		7,849	7,464	5,173	5,120	6,108	6,892	7,807	7,392	7,233	8,253	8,356	8,043	7,375
State-funded centers²⁸		2,962	2,851	2,967	2,777	2,952	2,919	2,778	2,766	2,850	2,919	3,065	2,978	2,705
School Readiness - Priority School Districts²⁹		UA	UA	6,065	6,978	6,924	7,871	8,545	9,443	9,577	9,513	9,490	10,221	10,164
School Readiness - Competitive School Districts³⁰		UA	UA	373	516	648	700	812	706	833	826	843	1,089	1,144
Head Start (federal)³¹		UA	6,108	6,236	6,185	6,219	6,765	6,905	6,764	7,053	6,154	6,139	6,127	5,320
Head Start (state only)³²		UA	420	385	385	409	345	469	349	444	407	461	398	380
Even Start³³		54	63	63	70	60	39	38	28	17	9	7	4	4

Table 7. Number of School-Age Children Served in Early Childhood Programs

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Care4Kids³⁴	12,561	8,871	5,963	5,448	5,679	6,066	6,345	6,298	6,046	5,989	6,096	6,009	5,923
State-funded centers³⁵	396	393	415	362	360	359	414	407	492	412	282	254	274
Even Start³⁶	16	13	16	19	14	21	19	8	1	3	1	4	4

Table 8. Licensed and Accredited Centers and Homes

	SFY 2002	SFY 2003	SFY 2004	SFY 2005	SFY 2006	SFY 2007	SFY 2008	SFY 2009	SFY 2010	SFY 2011	SFY 2012	SFY 2013	SFY 2014
Number of Licensed and Exempt Centers/Homes (total)³⁷	5,112	4,960	4,810	4,561	4,373	4,322	4,281	4,333	4,879	4,825	4,780	4,583	4,527
Exempt Programs ³⁸	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	567	579	569	548	579
Licensed Centers ³⁹	1,681	1,639	1,633	1,598	1,600	1,602	1,590	1,598	1,579	1,571	1,552	1,509	1,500
Licensed family day care homes ⁴⁰	3,431	3,321	3,177	2,963	2,773	2,720	2,691	2,735	2,733	2,675	2,659	2,526	2,448
Number of Accredited Centers/Homes (total)⁴¹	UA	465	UA	UA	UA	UA	560	UA	627	616	623	635	598
NAEYC ⁴²	UA	UA	346	530	548	UA	442	UA	409	426	449	458	446
AMI ⁴³	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	6	UA	7	7	7	7	6
AMS ⁴⁴	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	2	UA	1	2	2	3	2
Head Start ⁴⁵	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	97	UA	63	60	55	58	44
Early Head Start ⁴⁶	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	7	UA	8	13	11	17	13
NAFCC ⁴⁷	UA	UA	UA	UA	5	4	6	UA	2	2	3	1	1
NAA ⁴⁸	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	14	6	1	0	1
NEASC ⁴⁹	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	123	100	95	91	85

Table 9. Quality of Early Childhood Services: Licensed and Accredited Slots⁹⁰

	SFY 2003	SFY 2004	SFY 2005	SFY 2006	SFY 2007	SFY 2008	SFY 2009	SFY 2010	SFY 2011	SFY 2012	SFY 2013	SFY 2014
Infant and Toddlers												
Total exempt and licensed slots⁵¹	19,903	UA	17,285	19,169	18,189	17,110	18,946	19,060	20,022	19,107	19,106	18,896
Total exempt slots ⁵²	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	350	538	662	680	686
Total licensed slots ⁵³	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	18,710	19,484	18,445	18,426	18,210
Total accredited slots⁵⁴	3,579	UA	UA	UA	UA	4,583	UA	5,262	5,384	5,093	4,880	5,030
NAEYC ⁵⁵	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	4,271	UA	4,651	4,808	4,483	4,288	4,651
NAFCC ⁵⁶	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	5	UA	6	5	6	3	2
AMI ⁵⁷	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	0	UA	76	158	152	85	29
AMS ⁵⁸	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	0	UA	0	0	0	23	16
NEASC ⁵⁹	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	64	25	8	10	19
Early Head Start ⁶⁰	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	307	UA	465	388	444	494	313
Preschoolers												
Total exempt and licensed slots⁶¹	69,673	UA	64,742	76,104	72,283	66,839	74,374	73,571	65,410	69,727	65,515	57,112
Total exempt slots ⁶²	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	16,014	13,234	17,216	16,125	12,186
Total licensed slots ⁶³	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	57,557	52,176	52,511	49,390	44,460
Total accredited slots⁶⁴	20,323	UA	UA	UA	UA	24,447	UA	29,060	26,291	28,113	27,204	22,400
NAEYC ⁶⁵	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	19,048	UA	20,402	19,355	20,812	20,111	17,475
NAFCC ⁶⁶	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	21	UA	6	7	10	3	0
AMI ⁶⁷	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	191	UA	528	492	506	406	233
AMS ⁶⁸	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	67	UA	57	82	57	154	66
NEASC ⁶⁹	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	3,569	2,496	3,098	2,745	1,827
NAA ⁷⁰	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	11	UA	0	0	0	0	0
Head Start ⁷¹	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	5,109	UA	4,498	3,859	3,630	3,785	2,795

Table 10. Quality of Early Childhood Services: Qualifications of Early Childhood Staff in Publicly and Privately Funded Centers⁷²

	All Centers									Centers Receiving State Subsidies								
	Program Administrators			Teachers			Assistant Teachers			Program Administrators			Teachers			Assistant Teachers		
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
BA or more plus 12 ECE credits	41%	35%	35%	36%	25%	27%	10%	8%	8%	70%	71%	72%	45%	48%	51%	11%	10%	10%
AA plus 12 ECE credits	7%	7%	7%	17%	10%	10%	9%	4%	5%	8%	6%	7%	25%	24%	23%	11%	11%	11%
CDA plus 12 ECE credits or 30 credit credential	2%	1%	1%	11%	3%	3%	15%	3%	4%	UA	2%	3%	UA	11%	10%	UA	13%	14%
CDA and/or 12 ECE credits	7%	6%	5%	10%	12%	12%	19%	13%	12%	6%	2%	2%	23%	7%	6%	39%	18%	17%
Less than a CDA or 12 ECE credits	43%	51%	52%	26%	50%	48%	47%	72%	71%	16%	18%	16%	7%	10%	10%	39%	48%	47%

Table 11. Demographics of Head Start Program⁷³

	American Indian	Asian	Black, Non-Hispanic	White, Non-Hispanic	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Two or more races	Male	Female
October 2008									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.8%	5.6%	12.3%	60.8%	20.3%	UA	UA	51.0%	49.0%
Head Start Statewide Total	0.2%	2.0%	33.4%	16.4%	48.1%	UA	UA	49.6%	50.4%
Federally Funded Head Start Programs	0.2%	2.1%	33.6%	16.9%	47.2%	UA	UA	50.6%	49.4%
State Funded Head Start Programs	0.3%	1.3%	31.8%	11.8%	54.7%	UA	UA	48.3%	51.7%
October 2009									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.8%	5.6%	12.3%	60.8%	20.3%	UA	UA	51.0%	49.0%
Head Start Statewide Total	0.2%	1.9%	31.3%	17.6%	48.9%	UA	UA	50.8%	49.2%
Federally Funded Head Start Programs	0.2%	2.0%	30.8%	18.4%	48.6%	UA	UA	50.8%	49.2%
State Funded Head Start Programs	0.2%	1.7%	35.5%	10.4%	52.2%	UA	UA	50.2%	49.8%
October 2010									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.2%	4.9%	10.6%	57.0%	22.3%	UA	4.4%	51.1%	48.9%
Head Start Statewide Total	0.3%	1.6%	31.4%	22.5%	43.4%	UA	0.8%	51.3%	48.7%
Federally Funded Head Start Programs	0.3%	1.6%	31.7%	22.3%	43.3%	UA	0.8%	51.5%	48.5%
State Funded Head Start Programs	0.1%	1.5%	29.7%	24.2%	43.6%	UA	0.8%	49.7%	50.3%
October 2011									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.3%	5.2%	11.1%	56.0%	23.2%	0.0%	4.3%	51.0%	49.0%
Head Start Statewide Total	0.4%	1.4%	32.8%	16.1%	48.6%	0.1%	0.6%	51.7%	48.3%
Federally Funded Head Start Programs	0.4%	1.4%	32.9%	16.8%	47.9%	0.1%	0.6%	51.7%	48.3%
State Funded Head Start Programs	0.4%	1.5%	32.4%	11.2%	54.0%	0.0%	0.4%	51.5%	48.5%
October 2012									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.2%	5.4%	11.3%	54.9%	23.8%	0.0%	4.2%	51.1%	48.9%
Head Start Statewide Total	0.3%	2.2%	32.2%	15.6%	48.9%	0.0%	0.9%	51.0%	49.0%
Federally Funded Head Start Programs	0.3%	2.2%	32.1%	16.6%	47.9%	0.0%	0.9%	50.9%	49.1%
State Funded Head Start Programs	0.1%	2.2%	32.9%	9.2%	54.8%	0.0%	0.8%	51.3%	48.7%
October 2013									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.3%	5.5%	11.4%	53.8%	24.6%	0.1%	4.4%	51.1%	48.9%
Head Start Statewide Total	0.3%	2.1%	30.8%	17.0%	48.8%	0.1%	1.0%	49.7%	50.3%
Federally Funded Head Start Programs	0.4%	2.1%	31.4%	17.9%	47.3%	0.0%	0.9%	49.7%	50.3%
State Funded Head Start Programs	0.0%	1.5%	26.8%	10.9%	59.2%	0.2%	1.4%	49.2%	50.8%

Table 12. Demographics of the School Readiness Program⁷⁴

	American Indian	Asian	Black, Non-Hispanic	White, Non-Hispanic	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Two or more races	Male	Female
October 2008									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.8%	5.6%	12.3%	60.8%	20.3%	UA	UA	51.0%	49.0%
School Readiness Statewide	0.3%	3.7%	30.0%	21.6%	44.5%	UA	UA	49.2%	50.8%
All Priority Districts	0.3%	3.7%	31.9%	17.2%	46.9%	UA	UA	49.3%	50.7%
All Competitive Districts	0.4%	2.9%	7.9%	73.0%	15.9%	UA	UA	48.1%	51.9%
October 2009									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.8%	5.6%	12.3%	60.8%	20.3%	UA	UA	51.0%	49.0%
School Readiness Statewide	0.2%	3.4%	30.6%	21.1%	44.7%	UA	UA	49.9%	50.1%
All Priority Districts	0.2%	3.4%	32.1%	17.3%	46.9%	UA	UA	50.1%	49.9%
All Competitive Districts	0.1%	3.0%	11.2%	68.9%	16.7%	UA	UA	47.0%	53.0%
October 2010									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.2%	4.9%	10.6%	57.0%	22.3%	UA	4.4%	51.1%	48.9%
School Readiness Statewide	0.3%	3.5%	30.5%	19.7%	45.4%	UA	0.7%	51.2%	48.8%
All Priority Districts	0.3%	3.4%	32.1%	15.9%	47.8%	UA	0.6%	51.3%	48.8%
All Competitive Districts	0.6%	4.1%	12.3%	64.0%	16.7%	UA	2.3%	50.3%	49.7%
October 2011									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.3%	5.2%	11.1%	56.0%	23.2%	0.0%	4.3%	51.0%	49.0%
School Readiness Statewide	0.3%	3.6%	30.0%	20.6%	44.6%	0.0%	1.0%	50.1%	49.9%
All Priority Districts	0.3%	3.5%	31.6%	16.5%	47.1%	0.0%	0.9%	50.3%	49.7%
All Competitive Districts	0.4%	4.1%	10.1%	69.1%	14.1%	0.0%	2.1%	47.6%	52.4%
October 2012									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.2%	5.4%	11.3%	54.9%	23.8%	0.0%	4.2%	51.1%	48.9%
School Readiness Statewide	0.2%	3.7%	29.2%	22.2%	43.8%	0.1%	0.8%	50.0%	50.0%
All Priority Districts	0.2%	3.7%	31.3%	17.4%	46.8%	0.1%	0.5%	49.4%	50.6%
All Competitive Districts	0.3%	4.0%	9.7%	66.8%	15.8%	0.2%	3.2%	54.7%	45.3%
October 2013									
Connecticut Population Aged 3-4 Years Old	0.3%	5.5%	11.4%	53.8%	24.6%	0.1%	4.4%	51.1%	48.9%
School Readiness Statewide	0.2%	3.6%	27.7%	23.1%	44.3%	0.1%	1.0%	UA	UA
All Priority Districts	0.2%	3.6%	29.8%	17.9%	47.6%	0.1%	0.8%	UA	UA
All Competitive Districts	0.2%	3.6%	27.7%	23.1%	44.3%	0.1%	1.0%	UA	UA

Table 13. Income Distribution of School Readiness Recipients Statewide, 2002-2011⁷⁵

	SFY 2002	SFY 2003	SFY 2004	SFY 2005	SFY 2006	SFY 2007	SFY 2008	SFY 2009	SFY 2010	SFY 2011	SFY 2012	SFY 2013	SFY 2014
Below 50% SMI	78.4%	78.1%	73.9%	78.0%	76.9%	77.7%	77.0%	78.2%	79.6%	83.5%	80.8%	80.1%	80.6%
With TFA	13.4%	13.3%	12.8%	13.4%	12.0%	11.9%	12.8%	13.7%	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA
Without TFA	64.9%	64.8%	61.1%	64.6%	64.9%	65.8%	64.1%	64.5%	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA
50-75% SMI	15.2%	14.3%	18.2%	13.8%	14.1%	13.1%	13.4%	12.5%	12.9%	9.8%	11.3%	10.3%	11.4%
Above 75% SMI	6.4%	7.6%	7.9%	8.2%	9.0%	9.3%	9.6%	9.3%	7.6%	6.7%	7.9%	9.6%	6.5%

Table 14. Percent of Kindergarteners with Preschool Experience by District Reference Group (DRG)

	2001- 2002	2002- 2003	2003- 2004	2004- 2005	2005- 2006	2006- 2007	2007- 2008	2008- 2009	2009- 2010	2010- 2011	2011- 2012	2012- 2013	2013- 2014
Statewide ⁷⁶	75.10%	75.90%	76.40%	77.00%	79.20%	79.10%	79.20%	79.70%	80.34%	80.20%	80.09%	79.30%	79.20%
DRG A ⁷⁷	UA	96.70%	97.60%	96.40%	95.30%	95.50%	96.80%	95.79%	95.93%	94.86%	97.36%	95.40%	95.88%
DRG B	UA	88.60%	91.20%	90.80%	90.00%	91.10%	91.30%	91.27%	90.76%	91.26%	92.30%	91.60%	93.03%
DRG C	UA	83.50%	82.00%	84.20%	85.70%	86.20%	87.90%	86.03%	85.89%	85.41%	86.67%	88.50%	87.86%
DRG D	UA	80.80%	83.30%	81.40%	82.00%	83.90%	84.10%	85.83%	85.59%	85.10%	85.00%	84.70%	84.72%
DRG E	UA	77.60%	77.60%	78.70%	77.50%	82.60%	82.50%	85.55%	87.18%	86.27%	86.63%	82.80%	82.55%
DRG F	UA	77.90%	74.40%	74.30%	76.70%	75.60%	78.30%	79.66%	77.67%	73.29%	76.45%	72.50%	79.32%
DRG G	UA	70.60%	71.60%	74.70%	73.60%	75.20%	74.10%	76.99%	75.09%	77.12%	78.04%	74.20%	77.77%
DRG H	UA	74.10%	75.60%	73.80%	75.50%	74.00%	76.80%	75.20%	76.50%	75.14%	75.36%	74.80%	70.79%
DRG I	UA	57.20%	56.30%	58.90%	67.40%	65.10%	61.50%	62.07%	67.74%	69.49%	65.94%	67.40%	63.95%

Table 14 (cont.) Districts by District Reference Group

A	Darien	Easton	New Canaan	Redding	Regional 09	Ridgefield	Weston	Westport	Wilton
B	Avon	Brookfield	Cheshire	Fairfield	Farmington	Glastonbury	Granby	Greenwich	Guilford
	Madison	Monroe	New Fairfield	Newtown	Orange	Regional 05	Regional 15	Simsbury	South Windsor
	Trumbull	West Hartford	Woodbridge						
C	Andover	Barkhamsted	Bethany	Bolton	Canton	Columbia	Cornwall	Ellington	Essex
	Hebron	Mansfield	Marlborough	New Hartford	Oxford	Pomfret	Regional 04	Regional 07	Regional 08
	Regional 10	Regional 12	Regional 13	Regional 14	Regional 17	Regional 18	Regional 19	Salem	Sherman
	Somers	Suffield	Tolland						
D	Berlin	Bethel	Branford	Clinton	Colchester	Cromwell	East Granby	East Hampton	East Lyme
	Ledyard	Milford	New Milford	Newington	North Haven	Old Saybrook	Rocky Hill	Shelton	Southington
	Stonington	Wallingford	Waterford	Watertown	Wethersfield	Windsor			
E	Ashford	Bozrah	Brooklyn	Canaan	Chaplin	Chester	Colebrook	Coventry	Deep River
	East Haddam	Eastford	Franklin	Hampton	Hartland	Kent	Lebanon	Lisbon	Litchfield
	Norfolk	North Branford	North Stonington	Portland	Preston	Regional 01	Regional 06	Regional 16	Salisbury
	Scotland	Sharon	Thomaston	Union	Westbrook	Willington	Woodstock Academy	Woodstock	
F	Canterbury	East Windsor	Enfield	Griswold	Montville	North Canaan	Plainville	Plymouth	Regional 11
	Seymour	Sprague	Stafford	Sterling	Thompson	Voluntown	Windsor Locks	Wolcott	
G	Bloomfield	Bristol	East Haven	Groton	Hamden	Killingly	Manchester	Middletown	Naugatuck
	Norwich Free Academy	Plainfield	Putnam	Stratford	The Gilbert	Torrington	Vernon	Winchester	
H	Ansonia	Danbury	Derby	East Hartford	Meriden	Norwalk	Norwich	Stamford	West Haven
I	Bridgeport	Hartford	New Britain	New Haven	New London	Waterbury	Windham		

Table 15. Percent of Kindergarteners Retained by District Reference Group (DRG)

	2001- 2002	2002- 2003	2003- 2004	2004- 2005	2005- 2006	2006- 2007	2007- 2008	2008- 2009	2009- 2010	2010- 2011	2011- 2012	2012- 2013	2013- 2014
Statewide ₇₈	3.86%	4.20%	4.54%	4.03%	4.05%	3.40%	4.0%	3.31%	3.21%	3.37%	3.32%	UA	UA
DRG A	1.86%	1.64%	1.62%	1.37%	1.54%	1.21%	1.6%	1.27%	1.87%	1.77%	1.39%	UA	UA
DRG B	1.92%	2.12%	2.30%	1.56%	1.82%	1.79%	1.9%	1.63%	1.15%	1.50%	1.40%	UA	UA
DRG C	1.36%	1.21%	1.22%	1.24%	1.73%	1.62%	1.3%	1.12%	1.41%	1.60%	1.24%	UA	UA
DRG D	2.37%	2.34%	2.60%	2.41%	2.32%	2.47%	1.9%	1.53%	1.65%	1.84%	1.61%	UA	UA
DRG E	2.90%	3.21%	2.82%	2.81%	2.59%	2.65%	2.9%	2.62%	2.94%	4.04%	3.52%	UA	UA
DRG F	3.46%	4.02%	3.13%	3.34%	3.02%	4.11%	3.3%	2.82%	3.44%	2.90%	2.45%	UA	UA
DRG G	3.61%	3.77%	4.17%	4.12%	3.71%	3.39%	4.1%	2.78%	2.26%	3.11%	2.78%	UA	UA
DRG H	3.07%	3.28%	4.22%	4.04%	3.45%	2.88%	3.1%	2.82%	2.83%	2.93%	2.60%	UA	UA
DRG I	9.15%	9.91%	10.40%	8.92%	9.73%	5.49%	10.1%	8.12%	7.88%	7.04%	7.48%	UA	UA

Table 16. Percent of Fourth Graders Meeting Goal in the Connecticut Mastery Test⁷⁹

	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
<i>Mathematics</i>														
Statewide	60.00%	61.00%	60.00%	58.00%	57.00%	58.80%	62.30%	60.50%	63.80%	67.20%	67.20%	68.20%	65.40%	UA
DRG A	82.16%	83.68%	82.31%	81.59%	78.86%	83.54%	86.88%	86.63%	88.54%	91.14%	91.13%	91.52%	91.07%	UA
DRG B	79.24%	79.67%	81.43%	76.98%	75.43%	77.11%	81.88%	79.32%	82.73%	85.10%	84.54%	85.12%	82.57%	UA
DRG C	72.00%	73.43%	71.22%	68.73%	67.20%	70.74%	77.84%	73.31%	77.00%	79.30%	80.77%	80.92%	78.50%	UA
DRG D	67.70%	70.36%	68.12%	65.50%	62.78%	66.58%	69.39%	68.07%	70.19%	76.70%	75.24%	77.28%	74.08%	UA
DRG E	62.26%	66.74%	63.96%	60.57%	61.57%	62.83%	67.87%	65.34%	68.57%	70.36%	73.48%	78.45%	72.75%	UA
DRG F	60.75%	64.59%	60.02%	57.81%	58.23%	62.31%	69.21%	62.24%	65.66%	70.55%	70.82%	71.77%	68.19%	UA
DRG G	56.32%	57.32%	57.49%	52.62%	53.14%	55.20%	56.48%	53.79%	58.97%	61.31%	59.65%	61.40%	58.54%	UA
DRG H	52.14%	53.19%	51.03%	48.08%	47.62%	47.40%	52.15%	50.40%	54.84%	56.63%	57.16%	58.18%	56.06%	UA
DRG I	29.50%	29.53%	30.74%	29.30%	28.19%	27.92%	29.76%	31.14%	32.24%	36.27%	36.59%	36.79%	35.31%	UA
<i>Reading</i>														
Statewide	57.00%	58.00%	56.00%	54.00%	53.00%	57.80%	57.00%	56.00%	60.70%	60.00%	62.50%	64.10%	62.70%	UA
DRG A	83.05%	84.46%	83.14%	81.83%	80.80%	84.53%	83.49%	82.27%	85.58%	84.16%	85.85%	86.73%	86.73%	UA
DRG B	76.68%	77.56%	77.18%	75.45%	72.99%	77.56%	76.88%	76.58%	79.87%	78.32%	80.49%	81.55%	79.75%	UA
DRG C	71.72%	74.48%	70.60%	68.21%	65.56%	71.32%	74.55%	70.37%	74.02%	76.39%	77.79%	78.75%	77.72%	UA
DRG D	65.34%	67.48%	64.26%	62.48%	59.55%	65.89%	66.24%	64.43%	69.18%	69.93%	70.33%	71.35%	71.56%	UA
DRG E	64.01%	67.45%	62.09%	60.17%	59.40%	65.55%	64.84%	59.91%	67.67%	65.99%	69.99%	73.16%	70.19%	UA
DRG F	56.16%	62.70%	57.81%	51.59%	51.92%	58.29%	61.45%	56.28%	61.45%	61.23%	62.99%	67.39%	64.10%	UA
DRG G	52.40%	54.36%	50.27%	47.22%	47.28%	54.61%	50.87%	50.40%	58.11%	54.17%	56.61%	58.54%	57.48%	UA
DRG H	46.75%	46.40%	45.79%	43.58%	41.90%	45.16%	43.83%	43.17%	47.73%	46.68%	50.10%	52.40%	50.46%	UA
DRG I	22.35%	22.75%	22.58%	23.69%	20.80%	23.34%	22.65%	24.19%	27.49%	26.85%	30.78%	33.59%	32.98%	UA
<i>Writing</i>														
Statewide	57.00%	61.00%	62.00%	66.00%	63.00%	62.80%	65.10%	62.90%	64.20%	63.60%	65.50%	65.30%	63.10%	UA
DRG A	78.41%	82.83%	82.78%	86.70%	85.43%	84.49%	86.74%	86.28%	85.17%	84.76%	85.78%	86.62%	85.92%	UA
DRG B	74.48%	77.59%	79.03%	82.63%	79.68%	78.90%	82.45%	80.25%	80.64%	80.78%	82.07%	82.46%	80.34%	UA
DRG C	65.24%	72.63%	70.52%	73.65%	71.95%	72.92%	77.25%	74.57%	76.33%	78.35%	80.36%	79.52%	73.13%	UA
DRG D	64.51%	69.66%	68.38%	73.80%	70.23%	70.30%	73.69%	71.49%	72.84%	73.07%	73.53%	73.33%	70.81%	UA
DRG E	57.71%	65.54%	64.10%	69.30%	67.57%	67.30%	70.37%	66.78%	68.29%	66.60%	72.19%	72.75%	68.89%	UA
DRG F	53.55%	64.55%	61.88%	65.09%	64.17%	65.05%	70.42%	65.34%	66.37%	67.08%	66.84%	68.75%	63.99%	UA
DRG G	51.97%	57.02%	57.35%	59.85%	58.48%	57.79%	60.02%	57.57%	60.13%	57.79%	60.37%	60.08%	55.94%	UA
DRG H	48.87%	51.28%	54.14%	58.16%	54.82%	52.45%	55.50%	52.74%	53.52%	51.00%	55.08%	53.52%	55.37%	UA
DRG I	35.08%	34.61%	35.80%	41.40%	36.11%	35.92%	34.60%	33.58%	36.12%	35.60%	36.91%	36.90%	37.35%	UA

Table 17. Percent of Fourth Graders Meeting Proficiency in the Connecticut Mastery Test⁸⁰

	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014
Mathematics														
Statewide	82.00%	81.00%	81.00%	80.00%	79.00%	80.30%	80.90%	81.50%	84.60%	85.20%	85.10%	85.80%	83.80%	UA
DRG A	95.19%	96.09%	94.50%	95.01%	92.71%	95.36%	95.38%	96.11%	98.28%	98.14%	97.89%	98.00%	97.93%	UA
DRG B	94.06%	93.71%	93.87%	92.51%	91.24%	91.98%	93.51%	93.25%	95.18%	95.75%	95.61%	95.47%	94.74%	UA
DRG C	90.54%	91.35%	89.57%	88.23%	87.63%	89.84%	91.94%	91.67%	93.61%	93.92%	94.35%	94.83%	93.57%	UA
DRG D	88.61%	88.90%	87.82%	87.12%	85.10%	87.52%	87.72%	87.98%	90.39%	92.35%	91.86%	92.30%	90.64%	UA
DRG E	86.76%	87.35%	84.86%	83.85%	83.70%	86.19%	87.80%	86.77%	90.15%	89.11%	91.65%	93.02%	91.32%	UA
DRG F	84.31%	87.38%	84.87%	82.41%	81.45%	84.39%	87.59%	85.80%	89.24%	89.74%	89.07%	89.33%	87.60%	UA
DRG G	80.95%	79.35%	79.86%	77.77%	76.59%	79.76%	78.86%	79.05%	83.28%	82.45%	81.70%	83.43%	80.92%	UA
DRG H	76.68%	75.60%	74.05%	73.24%	72.43%	71.15%	74.12%	75.37%	78.97%	78.94%	79.01%	79.79%	78.00%	UA
DRG I	58.28%	57.47%	57.70%	58.88%	56.24%	56.03%	55.14%	58.26%	61.49%	63.42%	62.70%	64.23%	60.84%	UA
Reading														
Statewide	71.00%	71.00%	69.00%	69.00%	67.00%	71.80%	70.60%	69.70%	74.40%	72.90%	74.70%	78.30%	77.60%	UA
DRG A	91.87%	92.77%	90.96%	90.43%	89.90%	93.14%	92.43%	91.17%	93.94%	91.97%	92.19%	94.83%	94.83%	UA
DRG B	87.36%	87.79%	87.09%	86.82%	84.64%	87.49%	87.56%	86.74%	89.98%	88.40%	89.57%	91.23%	90.93%	UA
DRG C	83.17%	85.98%	83.04%	80.70%	77.52%	83.55%	84.87%	82.94%	86.70%	87.39%	87.90%	90.07%	89.30%	UA
DRG D	79.70%	81.27%	77.59%	77.30%	74.34%	79.61%	79.55%	78.59%	82.81%	82.25%	82.97%	85.41%	85.26%	UA
DRG E	78.22%	80.89%	75.70%	74.68%	74.46%	80.26%	79.97%	75.56%	81.12%	77.67%	82.94%	87.69%	84.32%	UA
DRG F	71.26%	78.79%	73.24%	67.89%	66.75%	74.98%	77.21%	72.71%	77.68%	76.83%	76.81%	82.64%	82.59%	UA
DRG G	68.26%	68.59%	65.31%	63.29%	63.25%	70.24%	67.28%	66.58%	73.00%	69.05%	70.34%	75.63%	74.77%	UA
DRG H	61.58%	60.38%	59.62%	60.21%	57.49%	61.37%	59.47%	58.47%	63.72%	61.71%	64.06%	68.44%	69.07%	UA
DRG I	38.58%	37.80%	36.40%	39.95%	36.03%	40.57%	37.65%	39.18%	43.68%	42.19%	46.17%	51.83%	51.35%	UA
Writing														
Statewide	80.00%	82.00%	81.00%	83.00%	81.00%	84.20%	84.10%	84.80%	85.00%	86.50%	85.40%	83.70%	83.50%	UA
DRG A	93.63%	95.63%	94.64%	95.87%	94.31%	96.50%	95.44%	96.42%	96.94%	96.53%	95.38%	96.45%	95.71%	UA
DRG B	90.98%	92.71%	93.24%	93.50%	91.83%	92.97%	94.91%	94.19%	93.83%	94.78%	94.62%	93.56%	93.41%	UA
DRG C	85.98%	89.69%	87.36%	87.98%	86.96%	90.29%	91.27%	91.75%	92.34%	93.38%	92.97%	92.73%	90.28%	UA
DRG D	85.59%	88.78%	87.14%	87.96%	87.22%	89.41%	90.73%	90.52%	91.00%	92.35%	91.52%	89.52%	88.56%	UA
DRG E	81.81%	88.05%	83.77%	87.07%	85.11%	87.36%	88.16%	87.92%	88.74%	88.56%	89.34%	88.24%	87.67%	UA
DRG F	79.05%	84.59%	83.68%	82.90%	83.42%	86.71%	88.21%	89.43%	88.23%	90.29%	88.01%	87.49%	84.00%	UA
DRG G	75.83%	79.12%	78.96%	79.40%	79.34%	81.59%	82.60%	83.15%	84.26%	84.97%	82.70%	81.90%	80.07%	UA
DRG H	73.71%	75.76%	75.99%	76.97%	74.81%	78.54%	78.47%	79.14%	78.22%	81.55%	80.54%	77.85%	79.99%	UA
DRG I	61.64%	63.35%	62.43%	64.61%	62.47%	66.91%	62.86%	65.65%	66.45%	69.01%	67.06%	63.80%	66.45%	UA

Appendix B: Calculations

Calculation 1: Unduplicated Number of Infants/Toddlers Receiving Some Form of State-Subsidized Early Care and Education

	October 2008	October 2009	October 2010	October 2011	October 2012	October 2013
Number of infants/toddlers receiving Care4Kids ¹	7,167	5,632	7,616	7,565	7,660	7,105
+ Number of infants/toddlers served in state-funded centers ²	1,159	1,110	1,235	1,350	1,218	1,169
+ Number of infants/toddlers served by Early Head Start ³	719	930	716	726	756	660
+ Number of infants/toddlers served by Even Start ⁴	38	29	14	26	28	30
Subtotal (prior to correction for duplication)	9,083	7,701	9,581	9,667	9,662	8,964
- Number of infants/toddlers receiving Care4Kids <i>and</i> in a state-funded center ⁵	306	410	702	393	449	376
- Number of infants/toddlers receiving Care4Kids <i>and</i> in Early Head Start ⁶	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA
= Unduplicated number of infants/toddlers receiving some form of state-subsidized early care and education	8,777	7,291	8,879	9,274	9,213	8,588
Number of infants/toddlers in families earning under 75% of SMI ⁷	58,572	51,766	66,898	56,650	58,033	54,512
Percent of infant/toddlers in families earning under 75% of SMI receiving state-subsidized care	14.98%	14.08%	13.27%	16.37%	15.88%	15.75%

Calculation 2: Unduplicated Number of Preschoolers Receiving Some Form of State-Subsidized Early Care and Education

	October 2008	October 2009	October 2010	October 2011	October 2012	October 2013
Number of preschoolers in Pre-Kindergarten Information System (PKIS) ⁸	12,520	11,762	12,373	12,915	12,004	11,430
+ Number of preschoolers in Public School Information System (PSIS) ⁹	15,576	16,283	15,118	15,076	15,117	17,246
+ Number of preschoolers receiving Care4Kids ¹⁰	7,467	6,055	7,709	7,667	7,888	6,657
Subtotal (prior to correction for duplication)	35,563	34,100	35,200	35,658	35,009	35,333
- Number of preschoolers in PKIS not receiving any state subsidy ¹¹	183	197	319	249	211	187
- Number of preschoolers in both PKIS and PSIS ¹²	652	564	460	70	332	350
- Number of preschoolers receiving Care4Kids <i>and</i> School Readiness ¹³	1,244	1,286	1,477	1,444	1,324	1,398
- Number of preschoolers receiving Care4Kids <i>and</i> in a state-funded center ¹⁴	749	1,110	1,426	573	635	504
- Number of preschoolers receiving Care4Kids <i>and</i> in Head Start ¹⁵	174	185	167	119	145	93
- Number of preschoolers listed in PKIS receiving Care4Kids and <i>no</i> other subsidy ¹⁶	266	137	152	102	85	60
= Unduplicated number of preschoolers receiving some form of state-subsidized early care and education	32,295	30,621	31,199	33,101	32,277	32,741
Number of 3 and 4-year-olds in families earning under 75% of SMI ¹⁷	36,356	45,830	41,917	47,141	43,272	40,170
Percent of 3 and 4-year-olds in families earning under 75% of SMI receiving state-subsidized care	88.83%	66.81%	74.43%	70.22%	74.59%	81.51%

Calculation 3: Unduplicated Number of Infants/Toddlers Receiving Some Form of Accredited State-Subsidized Early Care and Education

	October 2008	October 2009	October 2010	October 2011	October 2012	October 2013
Number of infants/toddlers receiving Care4Kids in accredited settings ¹⁸	1,317	917	1,639	1,578	1,378	1,475
+ Number of infants/toddlers served in accredited state-funded centers ¹⁹	1,124	1,077	1,198	1,350	1,218	1,169
+ Number of infants/toddlers served by Early Head Start ²⁰	719	930	716	726	756	660
Subtotal (prior to correction for duplication)	3,160	2,924	3,553	3,654	3,352	3,304
- Number of infants/toddlers receiving Care4Kids <i>and</i> in a state-funded center ²¹	297	398	681	393	419	376
= Unduplicated number of infants/toddlers receiving some form of accredited state-subsidized early care and education	2,863	2,526	2,872	3,261	2,903	2,903
= Percent of infants/toddlers receiving some form of state-subsidized early care and education who are in accredited care	32.62%	34.65%	32.35%	35.16%	31.51%	33.80%

Calculation 4: Unduplicated Number of Preschoolers Receiving Some Form of Accredited State-Subsidized Early Care and Education

	October 2008	October 2009	October 2010	October 2011	October 2012	October 2013
A.						
Number of preschoolers in Pre-Kindergarten Information System (PKIS) ²²	12,520	11,762	12,373	12,915	12,004	11,430
- Number of preschoolers in PKIS not receiving any state subsidy ²³	183	197	319	249	211	187
- Number of preschoolers in PKIS receiving <i>only</i> Care4Kids ²⁴	266	137	152	102	85	60
= Number of preschoolers receiving accredited care recorded in PKIS	12,071	11,428	11,902	12,564	11,708	11,183
B.						
Number of preschoolers in public school School Readiness programs ²⁵	3,111	3,101	2,915	2,897	2,879	3,063
+ Number of preschoolers in public school federal Head Start programs ²⁶	1,617	1,639	1,611	1,874	1,885	1,481
+ Number of preschoolers in public school state Head Start programs ²⁷	436	308	227	319	105	32
= Number of preschoolers receiving accredited care within the public school system²⁸	5,164	5,048	4,753	5,090	4,869	4,576
C.						
Number of preschoolers in Care4Kids in accredited settings ²⁸	2,436	1,806	2,949	2,856	2,691	2,525
- Number of preschoolers receiving Care4Kids <i>and</i> School Readiness ²⁹	1,244	1,286	1,477	1,444	1,324	1,398
- Number of preschoolers receiving Care4Kids also in a state-funded center ³⁰	749	1,110	1,426	573	635	504
= Number of preschoolers in Care4Kids in accredited settings not accounted for by PKIS or PSIS	443	-590	46	839	732	623
D.						
Number of preschoolers receiving accredited care recorded in PKIS ³¹	12,071	11,428	11,902	12,577	11,882	11,188
+ Number of preschoolers receiving accredited care within the public school system ³²	5,164	5,048	4,753	5,090	4,869	4,576
+ Number of preschoolers in Care4Kids in accredited settings not accounted for by PKIS or PSIS ³³	443	-590	46	839	732	623
= Unduplicated number of preschoolers receiving some form of accredited state-subsidized early care and education	17,678	15,886	16,701	18,506	17,483	16,387
= Percent of preschoolers receiving some form of state-subsidized early and education who are in accredited care	54.60%	51.75%	53.45%	56.12%	53.88%	50.04%

Appendix C: Town Data

Table 1: Geographic and Demographic Distribution of Students in the Federally-Funded Head Start Programs¹

Resident Town	October 2008							October 2009						
	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male
Andover	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ansonia	0.0	**	20.0	27.0	14.0	62.0	38.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	18.0	15.0	54.0	46.0
Barkhamsted	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Beacon Falls	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	100.0	0.0
Bethany	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bethlehem	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bloomfield	0.0	0.0	23.0	0.0	**	48.0	52.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	**	**	41.0	59.0
Bozrah	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0
Branford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
Bridgeport	0.0	**	246.0	25.0	286.0	52.0	48.0	0.0	**	253.0	44.0	318.0	47.0	53.0
Bridgewater	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	33.0	67.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	60.0	40.0
Bristol	0.0	0.0	**	17.0	19.0	44.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	17.0	15.0	33.0	67.0
Brookfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Brooklyn	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	67.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	**	7.0	**	56.0	44.0
Burlington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	100.0	0.0
Canterbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
Chester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Clinton	0.0	**	0.0	6.0	10.0	61.0	39.0	0.0	**	0.0	9.0	9.0	63.0	37.0
Colchester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	67.0	33.0
Colebrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Cromwell	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Danbury	0.0	12.0	23.0	22.0	225.0	51.0	49.0	**	14.0	21.0	24.0	175.0	50.0	50.0
Derby	0.0	**	**	12.0	**	76.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	58.0	42.0
Durham	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0
East Haddam	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
East Hartford	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	7.0	36.0	64.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	12.0	47.0	53.0
East Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
East Lyme	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
East Windsor	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ellington	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

October 2008								October 2009						
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male
Enfield	**	**	13.0	67.0	21.0	45.0	55.0	0.0	**	16.0	66.0	18.0	49.0	51.0
Fairfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Farmington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Glastonbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	50.0	50.0
Granby	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Goshen	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Greenwich	0.0	0.0	6.0	**	27.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	26.0	41.0	59.0
Griswold	**	0.0	**	12.0	**	53.0	47.0	0.0	0.0	**	15.0	**	82.0	18.0
Groton	0.0	**	**	28.0	**	59.0	41.0	0.0	**	11.0	35.0	10.0	56.0	44.0
Guilford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hamden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hartford	0.0	8.0	452.0	17.0	345.0	49.0	51.0	0.0	7.0	361.0	21.0	334.0	49.0	51.0
Hartland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Harwinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Killingly	0.0	**	**	33.0	**	57.0	43.0	**	0.0	**	23.0	**	63.0	37.0
Lebanon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Ledyard	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	**	67.0	33.0
Lisbon	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	50.0	50.0
Litchfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	17.0	83.0	**	0.0	0.0	9.0	**	36.0	64.0
Madison	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Manchester	**	10.0	29.0	26.0	46.0	52.0	48.0	0.0	15.0	41.0	26.0	43.0	53.0	47.0
Mansfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0
Marlborough	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Meriden	0.0	**	23.0	12.0	102.0	52.0	48.0	0.0	**	10.0	16.0	102.0	56.0	44.0
Middletown	0.0	**	66.0	17.0	19.0	51.0	49.0	0.0	**	52.0	18.0	20.0	44.0	56.0
Milford	0.0	**	0.0	18.0	**	35.0	65.0	0.0	**	0.0	16.0	0.0	47.0	53.0
Monroe	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	67.0	33.0
Montville	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	43.0	57.0	0.0	0.0	**	15.0	**	68.0	32.0
Morris	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Naugatuck	**	8.0	13.0	37.0	27.0	45.0	55.0	0.0	**	12.0	50.0	23.0	46.0	54.0
New Britain	0.0	10.0	36.0	27.0	165.0	48.0	52.0	0.0	0.0	42.0	22.0	166.0	53.0	47.0
New Canaan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Haven	**	**	310.0	12.0	203.0	50.0	50.0	**	**	258.0	16.0	275.0	51.0	49.0
New London	0.0	**	10.0	13.0	27.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	**	18.0	9.0	29.0	48.0	52.0
New Milford	0.0	**	**	19.0	**	37.0	63.0	0.0	0.0	**	25.0	**	48.0	52.0

October 2008								October 2009						
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male
Newington	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Newtown	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norfolk	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Branford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Canaan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
North Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Stonington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	100.0	0.0
Norwalk	**	0.0	57.0	8.0	147.0	44.0	56.0	**	**	59.0	7.0	203.0	48.0	52.0
Norwich	0.0	**	9.0	25.0	**	44.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	15.0	18.0	56.0	44.0
Old Lyme	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Old Saybrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Orange	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Oxford	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	50.0	50.0
Plainfield	0.0	**	6.0	23.0	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	**	30.0	0.0	52.0	48.0
Plainville	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plymouth	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	**	42.0	58.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	**	38.0	62.0
Pomfret	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Portland	0.0	**	8.0	8.0	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	**	8.0	**	53.0	47.0
Preston	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Putnam	0.0	0.0	**	21.0	**	52.0	48.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	**	56.0	44.0
Rocky Hill	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Salem	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Seymour	0.0	0.0	**	22.0	**	63.0	37.0	0.0	0.0	**	19.0	**	48.0	52.0
Shelton	0.0	0.0	**	6.0	**	56.0	44.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	58.0	42.0
South Windsor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Southington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	50.0	50.0
Sprague	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0
Stafford	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	0.0	59.0	41.0
Stamford	0.0	0.0	50.0	7.0	170.0	43.0	57.0	0.0	0.0	47.0	**	168.0	46.0	54.0
Sterling	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Stonington	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	67.0	33.0	0.0	**	**	15.0	**	44.0	56.0
Stratford	0.0	**	40.0	16.0	29.0	59.0	41.0	0.0	**	46.0	14.0	19.0	49.0	51.0
Suffield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Thomaston	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0

October 2008								October 2009						
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male
Thompson	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Torrington	0.0	**	8.0	57.0	46.0	42.0	58.0	**	**	**	56.0	46.0	49.0	51.0
Trumbull	0.0	12.0	**	13.0	12.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	12.0	**	15.0	11.0	50.0	50.0
Vernon	**	**	21.0	29.0	13.0	47.0	53.0	**	**	**	19.0	**	49.0	51.0
Voluntown	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wallingford	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	67.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	38.0	62.0
Washington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Waterbury	0.0	0.0	71.0	16.0	116.0	53.0	47.0	0.0	**	57.0	20.0	77.0	42.0	58.0
Waterford	0.0	**	0.0	**	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	50.0	50.0
Watertown	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
West Hartford	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
West Haven	0.0	**	40.0	36.0	70.0	49.0	51.0	0.0	**	44.0	28.0	76.0	50.0	50.0
Westbrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Westport	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wethersfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Willington	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Winchester	0.0	0.0	**	10.0	**	62.0	38.0	**	**	0.0	20.0	**	56.0	44.0
Windham	**	0.0	9.0	16.0	129.0	42.0	58.0	0.0	**	9.0	21.0	96.0	46.0	54.0
Windsor	0.0	**	32.0	8.0	6.0	45.0	55.0	0.0	**	25.0	**	**	30.0	70.0
Windsor Locks	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Woodstock	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

October 2010									October 2011								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male
Andover	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ansonia	0.0	**	9.0	8.0	9.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	*	9.0	8.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Barkhamsted	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Beacon Falls	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bethany	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bethlehem	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bloomfield	0.0	0.0	16.0	**	**	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.3
Bozrah	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Branford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Bridgeport	**	**	238.0	41.0	326.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	*	270.0	31.0	370.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5
Bridgewater	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Bristol	0.0	**	11.0	15.0	13.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*	*	13.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Brookfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Brooklyn	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.8
Burlington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canterbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Chester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Clinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	8.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*	*	9.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
Colchester	0.0	0.0	**	11.0	**	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	*	*	11.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
Colebrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Cromwell	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Danbury	0.0	7.0	14.0	48.0	154.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	7.0	15.0	39.0	171.0	*	0.0	0.5	0.5
Derby	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
Durham	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
East Haddam	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
East Hartford	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
East Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
East Lyme	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7
East Windsor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ellington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Enfield	0.0	**	10.0	53.0	27.0	13.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*	12.0	48.0	31.0	0.0	11.0	0.5	0.5
Fairfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Farmington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Glastonbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Granby	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

October 2010									October 2011								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male
Goshen	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Greenwich	0.0	0.0	**	**	28.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	*	*	*	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Griswold	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	**	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
Groton	0.0	**	14.0	14.0	18.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	*	15.0	11.0	19.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Guilford	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hamden	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hartford	0.0	**	282.0	20.0	313.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*	393.0	18.0	322.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Hartland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Harwinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Killingly	0.0	**	**	23.0	12.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	*	*	32.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Lebanon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Ledyard	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.8
Lisbon	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Litchfield	**	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.8
Madison	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Manchester	0.0	11.0	47.0	22.0	58.0	13.0	0.5	0.5	*	13.0	47.0	30.0	47.0	0.0	8.0	0.5	0.5
Mansfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Marlborough	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Meriden	0.0	**	16.0	18.0	100.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	*	27.0	11.0	107.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Middletown	0.0	0.0	57.0	22.0	29.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	*	74.0	24.0	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
Milford	0.0	**	**	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	*	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Monroe	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.2
Montville	0.0	0.0	**	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	*	*	*	13.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
Morris	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Naugatuck	0.0	**	9.0	46.0	26.0	**	0.6	0.4	0.0	6.0	*	51.0	27.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5
New Britain	0.0	**	27.0	31.0	181.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*	*	29.0	33.0	184.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
New Canaan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
New Haven	**	7.0	334.0	36.0	171.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*	*	351.0	32.0	339.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
New London	**	**	19.0	10.0	34.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	*	*	18.0	11.0	45.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5
New Milford	**	**	0.0	20.0	11.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*	0.0	*	21.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
Newington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Newtown	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norfolk	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Branford	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Canaan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

October 2010									October 2011								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male
North Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Stonington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norwalk	**	**	57.0	9.0	173.0	**	0.4	0.6	*	*	60.0	*	164.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
Norwich	0.0	0.0	9.0	9.0	17.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*	0.0	14.0	12.0	19.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
Old Lyme	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Old Saybrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Orange	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oxford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainfield	0.0	**	7.0	27.0	**	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	*	24.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
Plainville	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Plymouth	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pomfret	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Portland	0.0	0.0	**	8.0	**	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	*	12.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Preston	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Putnam	0.0	**	0.0	15.0	**	**	0.4	0.6	0.0	*	*	10.0	*	*	*	0.6	0.4
Rocky Hill	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Salem	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7
Seymour	0.0	0.0	**	16.0	**	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	*	15.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Shelton	0.0	**	**	**	**	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	*	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7
South Windsor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Southington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sprague	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stafford	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	**	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	*	0.0	*	0.5	0.5
Stamford	0.0	0.0	36.0	**	104.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*	15.0	*	69.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Sterling	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Stonington	0.0	0.0	**	14.0	**	0.0	0.8	0.3	*	*	*	11.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Stratford	0.0	0.0	59.0	15.0	31.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	54.0	20.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Suffield	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Thomaston	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Thompson	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Torrington	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.0	53.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*	*	*	78.0	33.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5
Trumbull	0.0	0.0	**	21.0	11.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	*	*	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7
Vernon	0.0	**	19.0	28.0	18.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	*	17.0	34.0	20.0	0.0	*	0.5	0.5
Voluntown	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wallingford	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0

October 2010									October 2011								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% Female	% Male
Washington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Waterbury	0.0	**	120.0	33.0	159.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	132.0	30.0	166.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
Waterford	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	**	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	*	7.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4
Watertown	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
West Hartford	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	0.0	0.2	0.8	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
West Haven	0.0	**	49.0	25.0	69.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*	*	45.0	30.0	76.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Westbrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.3
Westport	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wethersfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Willington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Winchester	**	**	0.0	25.0	**	0.0	0.4	0.6	*	0.0	0.0	29.0	*	*	0.0	0.4	0.6
Windham	0.0	0.0	**	6.0	39.0	**	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	*	7.0	44.0	0.0	*	0.4	0.6
Windsor	0.0	0.0	11.0	9.0	6.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Windsor Locks	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Woodstock	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Resident Town	October 2012									October 2013								
	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Andover	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Ansonia	0.0	0.0	11.0	8.0	*	0.0	0.0	43.5	56.5	0.0	0.0	14.0	9.0	*	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6
Barkhamsted	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Beacon Falls	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bethany	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Bethlehem	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bloomfield	0.0	0.0	16.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	54.2	45.8	0.0	0.0	28.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	51.4	48.6
Bozrah	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Branford	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Bridgeport	0.0	*	208.0	21.0	300.0	0.0	0.0	49.0	51.0	0.0	*	239.0	46.0	273.0	0.0	0.0	49.6	50.4
Bridgewater	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Bristol	0.0	*	*	13.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	41.0	59.0	0.0	*	*	13.0	19.0	0.0	0.0	42.1	57.9
Brookfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Brooklyn	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0
Burlington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canterbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Chester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Clinton	0.0	*	*	*	11.0	0.0	0.0	47.1	52.9	0.0	*	0.0	*	8.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7
Colchester	0.0	*	0.0	12.0	*	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0	*	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.8	41.2
Colebrook	0.0	0.0		*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cromwell	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0

October 2012										October 2013								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Danbury	0.0	*	11.0	23.0	94.0	0.0	*	43.6	56.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Derby	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	*	7.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0
Durham	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
East Haddam	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
East Hartford	0.0	0.0	15.0	*	9.0	0.0	0.0	52.0	48.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	*	20.0	0.0	0.0	63.4	36.6
East Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	*	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
East Lyme	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
East Windsor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ellington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Enfield	0.0	*	6.0	51.0	32.0	0.0	13.0	49.0	51.0	0.0	*	10.0	45.0	31.0	0.0	7.0	56.3	43.8
Fairfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Farmington	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Glastonbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Granby	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Goshen	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Greenwich	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	22.0	0.0	0.0	32.4	67.6	0.0	0.0	6.0	11.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	48.9	51.1
Griswold	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Groton	0.0	*	10.0	15.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	36.1	63.9	*	0.0	7.0	19.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	48.8	51.2
Guilford	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hamden	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Hartford	*	*	308.0	9.0	302.0	0.0	0.0	51.1	48.9	*	*	200.0	11.0	227.0	0.0	0.0	49.8	50.2
Hartland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Harwinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10	0.0

October 2012										October 2013									
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	
								0										0.0	
Killingly	0.0	*	*	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.0	*	*	20.0	*	0.0	0.0	42.3	57.7	
Lebanon	*	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	
Ledyard	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	
Lisbon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Litchfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	*	*	*	7.0	*	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	
Madison	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Manchester	0.0	17.0	48.0	24.0	49.0	0.0	8.0	46.6	53.4	*	9.0	50.0	32.0	51.0	0.0	8.0	45.4	54.6	
Mansfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Marlborough	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Meriden	0.0	*	28.0	23.0	84.0	0.0	0.0	47.8	52.2	0.0	*	9.0	13.0	89.0	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	
Middletown	0.0	*	44.0	13.0	17.0	0.0	0.0	50.7	49.3	0.0	*	36.0	10.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	41.8	58.2	
Milford	0.0	*	*	8.0	*	0.0	0.0	78.6	21.4	0.0	6.0	*	7.0	*	0.0	0.0	82.4	17.6	
Monroe	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	
Montville	0.0	7.0	*	12.0	*	0.0	0.0	29.2	70.8	0.0	8.0	*	15.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	
Morris	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Naugatuck	0.0	7.0	8.0	43.0	17.0	0.0	7.0	53.7	46.3	0.0	*	14.0	38.0	17.0	0.0	*	53.9	46.1	
New Britain	0.0	10.0	36.0	32.0	171.0	0.0	0.0	51.4	48.6	0.0	8.0	22.0	25.0	171.0	0.0	0.0	56.6	43.4	
New Canaan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
New Haven	*	6.0	347.0	27.0	287.0	0.0	*	52.8	47.2	*	*	360.0	29.0	295.0	*	*	51.2	48.8	
New London	0.0	*	27.0	12.0	61.0	0.0	*	50.5	49.5	0.0	*	22.0	*	52.0	*	*	59.5	40.5	
New Milford	0.0	*	*	22.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	45.9	54.1	0.0	*	0.0	22.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	40.6	59.4	

October 2012										October 2013								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Newington	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Newtown	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norfolk	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Branford	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Canaan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
North Stonington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Norwalk	*	0.0	55.0	*	180.0	0.0	0.0	49.8	50.2	*	*	43.0	*	168.0	0.0	0.0	52.1	47.9
Norwich	*	*	19.0	13.0	17.0	0.0	0.0	41.2	58.8	0.0	*	20.0	18.0	23.0	0.0	0.0	57.8	42.2
Old Lyme	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Old Saybrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Orange	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Oxford	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainfield	0.0	0.0	*	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	*	*	*	22.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8
Plainville	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plymouth	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pomfret	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Portland	0.0	0.0	*	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.0	0.0	*	10.0	*	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3
Preston	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Putnam	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	*	0.0	0.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	*	0.0	*	50.0	50.0

Resident Town	October 2012									October 2013								
	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Rocky Hill	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Salem	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Seymour	0.0	0.0	*	17.0	*	0.0	0.0	34.8	65.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	*	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9
Shelton	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	*	*	8.0	*	0.0	0.0	69.2	30.8
South Windsor	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Southington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sprague	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Stafford	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	*	0.0	*	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	*	0.0	*	68.4	31.6
Stamford	0.0	*	30.0	*	128.0	0.0	0.0	43.9	56.1	0.0	*	43.0	*	104.0	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6
Sterling	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Stonington	*	*	*	9.0	*	0.0	0.0	63.2	36.8	*	*	0.0	9.0	*	0.0	0.0	64.7	35.3
Stratford	*	*	49.0	15.0	37.0	0.0	0.0	51.4	48.6	0.0	*	47.0	13.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	53.4	46.6
Suffield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Thomaston	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Thompson	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Torrington	*	*	*	92.0	37.0	0.0	0.0	50.7	49.3	*	*	*	81.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	49.6	50.4
Trumbull	0.0	0.0	*	6.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	47.1	52.9	0.0	*	*	13.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3
Vernon	0.0	*	12.0	38.0	11.0	0.0	*	58.8	41.2	0.0	*	15.0	40.0	18.0	0.0	*	50.0	50.0
Voluntown	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wallingford	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Washington	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Waterbury	0.0	0.0	122.0	32.0	170.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.0	*	91.0	24.0	150.0	0.0	0.0	44.0	55.6

October 2012										October 2013								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic /Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M
					0				3									4
Waterford	0.0	0.0	*	7.0	*	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	*	*	0.0	*	*	0.0	*	60.0	40.0
Watertown	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
West Hartford	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	*	8.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	76.0
West Haven	0.0	*	42.0	25.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	47.9	52.1	0.0	*	27.0	16.0	77.0	0.0	0.0	52.9	47.1
Westbrook	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Westport	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Wethersfield	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Willington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Winchester	0.0	0.0	*	36.0	*	*	0.0	52.5	47.5	0.0	0.0	*	30.0	*	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5
Windham	0.0	0.0	*	*	47.0	0.0	0.0	58.8	41.2	0.0	*	0.0	12.0	92.0	0.0	*	45.0	55.0
Windsor	*	*	28.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	55.0	45.0	*	*	24.0	*	8.0	0.0	0.0	61.0	39.0
Windsor Locks	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Woodstock	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 2: Geographic and Demographic Distribution of Students in the State-Funded Head Start Programs²

Resident Town	October 2008							October 2009						
	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male
Ansonia	0.0	0.0	7.0	8.0	**	63.0	37.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	50.0	50.0
Bloomfield	0.0	0.0	**	14.0	17.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	**	**	8.0	18.0	56.0	44.0
Bozrah	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bridgeport	0.0	**	80.0	8.0	60.0	44.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	56.0	6.0	35.0	47.0	53.0
Bridgewater	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bristol	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Brooklyn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Burlington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Clinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
Colchester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Danbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
Derby	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0
East Hartford	**	**	57.0	25.0	100.0	51.0	49.0	**	8.0	76.0	22.0	105.0	52.0	48.0
Fairfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Farmington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
Greenwich	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Griswold	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Groton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hartford	0.0	0.0	12.0	**	29.0	67.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	67.0	33.0
Killingly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
Ledyard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lisbon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Manchester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Meriden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Milford	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Britain	0.0	0.0	7.0	**	7.0	47.0	53.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	9.0	50.0	50.0
New Hartford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New London	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Newington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Resident Town	October 2008							October 2009						
	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male
Norwalk	0.0	**	6.0	**	69.0	57.0	43.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	22.0	58.0	42.0
Norwich	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oxford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainville	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	100.0
Salem	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Seymour	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shelton	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	100.0
Stamford	0.0	0.0	14.0	**	33.0	52.0	48.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	**	48.0	47.0	53.0
Stratford	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	100.0	0.0
Vernon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Waterbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	9.0	50.0	50.0
West Haven	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	7.0	57.0	43.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	**	7.0	47.0	53.0
Willington	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Windham	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	12.0	56.0	44.0
Wolcott	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Woodstock	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

October 2010									October 2011								
Resident Town	American		Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian		Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
	Indian	Asian	Origin	Origin				Indian		Asian	Origin	Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Races	F	M	
Ansonia	0.0	0.0	7.0	**	8.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	*	0.0	7.0	*	10.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	
Bloomfield	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
Bozrah	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Bridgeport	0.0	**	65.0	7.0	87.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	*	87.0	7.0	111.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	
Bridgewater	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	
Bristol	0.0	**	**	13.0	12.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	*	*	11.0	20.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	
Brooklyn	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Burlington	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Clinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Colchester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Danbury	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	35.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	
Derby	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.4	0.6	
East Hartford	0.0	7.0	73.0	49.0	83.0	**	0.5	0.5	0.0	6.0	72.0	25.0	114.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	
Fairfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
Farmington	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	1.0	
Greenwich	0.0	0.0	**	**	27.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Griswold	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	*	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Groton	0.0	**	**	**	**	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Hartford	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.8	0.3	
Killingly	0.0	0.0	**	**	6.0	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ledyard	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lisbon	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	**	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Manchester	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	6.0	*	*	0.4	0.6	
Meriden	0.0	0.0	**	**	9.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	*	*	14.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	
Milford	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
New Britain	0.0	0.0	**	**	13.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	*	*	11.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	
New Hartford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
New Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.6	0.4	
New London	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Newington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Norwalk	0.0	0.0	12.0	**	31.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	*	0.0	8.0	*	30.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	

Resident Town	October 2010								October 2011							
	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Norwich	0.0	0.0	**	**	9.0	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Oxford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainville	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Salem	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Seymour	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Shelton	**	0.0	**	**	**	0.0	0.4	0.6	*	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.3	0.7
Stamford	0.0	0.0	15.0	**	27.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	*	*	8.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Stratford	0.0	0.0	**	**	**	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.3	0.8
Vernon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Waterbury	0.0	0.0	6.0	**	9.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	9.0	*	8.0	0.0	0.8	0.2
West Haven	0.0	0.0	7.0	**	12.0	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	6.0	*	*	0.0	0.7	0.3
Willington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Windham	0.0	0.0	**	**	7.0	**	0.5	0.5	0.0	*	0.0	*	7.0	0.0	0.2	0.8
Wolcott	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Woodstock	0.0	0.0	0.0	**	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

October 2012									October 2013							
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Ansonia	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	58.3	41.7	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7
Bloomfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bozrah	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bridgeport	0.0	6.0	119.0	8.0	155.0	0.0	47.9	52.1	0.0	67.0	6.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	54.7	45.3
Bridgewater	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bristol	0.0	*	*	10.0	18.0	0.0	65.6	34.4	0.0	*	10.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	37.9	62.1
Brooklyn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Burlington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Clinton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Colchester	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Danbury	0.0	*	*	*	27.0	0.0	46.9	53.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Derby	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0
East Hartford	0.0	6.0	68.0	21.0	112.0	*	47.2	52.8	6.0	52.0	25.0	118.0	9.0	0.0	47.6	52.4
Fairfield	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Farmington	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
Greenwich	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Griswold	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Groton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hartford	0.0	0.0	9.0	*	*	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	*	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0
Killingly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ledyard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lisbon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Manchester	0.0	*	*	*	6.0	*	46.7	53.3	*	8.0	*	*	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8
Meriden	0.0	*	6.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	52.6	47.4	0.0	*	5.0	*	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Milford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Britain	0.0	*	*	*	16.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	*	*	*	41.0	0.0	0.0	56.9	43.1
New Hartford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
New Haven	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	38.0	0.0	*	58.0	42.0
New London	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

October 2012									October 2013							
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Newington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Norwalk	*	0.0	*	*	16.0	0.0	40.9	59.1	0.0	*	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0
Norwich	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oxford	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainfield	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plainville	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Salem	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Seymour	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	*	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Shelton	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Stamford	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	52.0	48.0	0.0	*	*	11.0	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6
Stratford	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vernon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Waterbury	0.0	0.0	9.0	*	8.0	0.0	61.1	38.9	0.0	*	*	12.0	0.0	0.0	52.9	47.1
West Haven	0.0	0.0	*	*	*	0.0	10.0	90.0	*	5.0	*	7.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6
Willington	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Windham	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	10.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.0	*	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	76.5
Wolcott	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Woodstock	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3: Geographic and Demographic Distribution of Students in the State-Funded Head Start Programs³

Resident Town	October 2008							October 2009						
	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male
Ansonia	0	**	27	61	32	59.0	41.0	0	**	30	63	22	51.0	49.0
Bloomfield	0	**	44	8	8	47.0	53.0	0	**	47	**	0	44.0	56.0
Bridgeport	**	23	593	88	632	49.0	51.0	**	27	575	87	635	50.0	50.0
Bristol	0	11	21	105	51	45.0	55.0	0	**	30	125	86	48.0	52.0
Danbury	0	36	27	108	133	50.0	50.0	0	26	26	114	174	47.0	53.0
East Hartford	0	30	134	35	81	50.0	50.0	**	26	169	37	86	48.0	52.0
Hartford	**	16	461	48	854	52.0	48.0	**	12	522	33	824	50.0	50.0
Meriden	0	**	51	104	196	49.0	51.0	0	7	64	102	220	56.0	44.0
Middletown	0	14	70	92	35	52.0	48.0	**	18	67	99	37	48.0	52.0
New Britain	**	28	139	80	301	50.0	50.0	**	20	90	102	336	52.0	48.0
New Haven	**	42	439	122	446	52.0	48.0	**	41	499	114	440	50.0	50.0
New London	**	**	39	20	59	49.0	51.0	**	0	41	21	56	44.0	56.0
Norwalk	0	**	108	65	218	50.0	50.0	0	10	124	73	230	52.0	48.0
Norwich	**	26	61	100	31	52.0	48.0	**	28	53	98	48	51.0	49.0
Putnam	0	0	**	44	**	43.0	57.0	0	0	**	44	**	57.0	43.0
Stamford	0	52	109	78	328	52.0	48.0	0	46	79	65	304	52.0	48.0
Waterbury	9	15	329	228	442	53.0	47.0	**	15	324	251	445	48.0	52.0
West Haven	0	7	85	55	88	42.0	58.0	0	13	70	62	83	43.0	57.0
Windham	**	**	12	44	109	52.0	48.0	**	**	12	30	98	49.0	51.0

October 2010									October 2011								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Ansonia	**	**	39	62	46	0	49.7	50.3	8		31	48	46			42.9	57.1
Bloomfield	0	**	52	8	**	0	51.6	48.4		*	32	9				54.8	45.2
Bridgeport	**	27	633	73	713	0	47.0	53.0	*	27	537	57	705	*		48.1	51.9
Bristol	0	**	29	108	85	0	44.9	55.1		9	37	115	92		*	52.4	47.6
Danbury	0	27	32	122	160	0	45.7	54.3		23	29	117	166			46.0	54.0
East Hartford	0	18	191	41	80	**	49.9	50.1		21	241	36	90	*		53.0	47.0
Hartford	**	24	501	46	859	25	49.1	50.9	*	17	511	56	835	*	34	48.9	51.1
Meriden	0	7	65	96	212	0	56.6	43.4	*	10	60	92	153			51.3	48.7
Middletown	**	18	69	103	47	0	55.2	44.8		19	67	97	50		*	48.7	51.3
New Britain	0	10	91	73	307	**	50.4	49.6	*	6	75	96	240		*	52.5	47.5
New Haven	**	50	460	121	504	0	49.5	50.5	*	48	414	110	409		*	50.5	49.5
New London	0	**	39	18	56	0	56.5	43.5	*	*	41	18	65			53.9	46.1
Norwalk	0	16	119	89	245	0	52.2	47.8	*	15	106	77	240			50.3	49.7
Norwich	**	20	60	73	63	16	44.6	55.4		26	45	78	71		16	55.5	44.5
Putnam	0	0	0	44	**	**	51.1	48.9		*	*	42	*		*	53.2	46.8
Stamford	0	55	111	50	330	0	47.8	52.2	*	27	45	28	122			50.7	49.3
Waterbury	8	11	331	216	433	**	48.8	51.2	*	22	326	221	530		11	50.2	49.8
West Haven	0	15	60	69	59	0	30.0	70.0		20	53	59	34			39.2	60.8
Windham	0	0	6	35	113	0	44.8	55.2		*	7	34	108		*	50.0	50.0

October 2012										October 2013								
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino of any race	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Ansonia	*	*	24	52	31	0	0	45.5	54.5	0	*	35	52	29	0	*	55.5	44.5
Bloomfield	0	*	30	*	*	0	0	57.9	42.1	0	*	37	*	6	0	0	61.7	38.3
Bridgeport	*	26	524	56	681	*	0	48.4	51.6	*	20	576	91	696	*	*	51.1	48.9
Bristol	0	12	37	136	81	*	*	55.2	44.8	0	12	46	158	88	0	*	54.9	45.1
Danbury	0	21	23	123	182	0	0	47.6	52.4	*	24	31	118	205	0	*	52.9	47.1
East Hartford	0	17	192	28	87	0	*	54.6	45.4	0	*	94	17	80	0	*	56.3	43.7
Hartford	*	15	466	40	660	0	*	51.6	48.4	*	21	440	21	684	*	*	49.9	50.1
Meriden	*	13	70	92	163	0	0	50.9	49.1	*	11	61	94	186	0	0	52.4	47.6
Middletown	0	14	74	101	48	0	*	46.9	53.1	0	15	76	114	46	0	*	43.3	56.7
New Britain	0	*	71	93	212	0	*	50.5	49.5	*	22	115	105	323	0	6	49.7	50.3
New Haven	0	54	398	129	440	*	*	53.9	46.1	*	44	302	117	295	0	*	52.8	47.2
New London	0	*	50	17	59	0	0	52.7	47.3	0	*	53	19	63	0	0	55.1	44.9
Norwalk	*	17	103	86	269	0	*	46.3	53.8	*	18	97	92	277	0	*	48.6	51.4
Norwich	0	20	51	81	60	*	14	53.7	46.3	*	21	48	71	59	0	22	51.8	48.2
Putnam	*	0	*	69	*	0	*	50.6	49.4	0	*	0	59	8	0	8	46.8	53.2
Stamford	*	53	76	45	231	0	0	44.8	55.2	*	46	79	42	255	0	0	48.6	51.4
Waterbury	*	21	333	188	528	0	11	51.2	48.8	*	16	307	217	486	*	9	49.4	50.6
West Haven	0	12	65	65	52	0	0	47.4	52.6	*	9	60	55	50	0	0	46.9	53.1
Windham	0	*	8	39	87	0	*	57.2	42.8	0	*	11	38	104	0	*	53.5	46.5

Table 4: Geographic and Demographic Distribution of Students in the School Readiness Competitive School Districts Program⁴

October 2008								October 2009							
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male	
Andover	0	**	0	17	**	42.0	58.0	0	0	0	19	0	32.0	68.0	
Ashford	0	0	**	21	**	52.0	48.0	0	0	**	22	**	40.0	60.0	
Beacon Falls	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Branford	0	**	**	**	**	57.0	43.0	0	0	0	**	**	50.0	50.0	
Brooklyn	0	0	0	35	**	49.0	51.0	0	0	**	35	**	59.0	41.0	
Canterbury	0	0	0	22	0	50.0	50.0	0	0	**	22	**	58.0	42.0	
Chaplin	0	0	0	18	**	60.0	40.0	0	0	0	19	**	50.0	50.0	
Colchester	0	**	**	12	**	47.0	53.0	0	**	**	11	0	87.0	13.0	
Coventry	0	0	0	13	**	60.0	40.0	0	0	**	15	**	44.0	56.0	
Derby	0	0	**	8	14	67.0	33.0	0	0	**	12	12	70.0	30.0	
East Haven	0	**	0	11	**	40.0	60.0	0	0	**	9	**	60.0	40.0	
Eastford	0	0	0	20	**	62.0	38.0	0	0	0	17	0	53.0	47.0	
Ellington	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Enfield	0	0	**	9	**	56.0	44.0	0	0	**	8	**	67.0	33.0	
Greenwich	0	0	0	0	**	20.0	80.0	0	0	0	**	11	46.0	54.0	
Griswold	0	0	**	15	0	56.0	44.0	0	**	**	11	**	44.0	56.0	
Groton	0	0	**	**	13	50.0	50.0	0	0	**	**	6	40.0	60.0	
Hamden	0	0	6	**	6	43.0	57.0	0	0	7	**	**	54.0	46.0	
Hampton	0	0	0	13	0	23.0	77.0	0	**	0	12	**	29.0	71.0	
Hebron	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Killingly	0	**	**	9	0	55.0	45.0	0	0	**	12	0	38.0	62.0	
Lebanon	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	0	0	**	17	**	43.0	57.0	
Ledyard	**	0	**	10	**	44.0	56.0	**	**	**	6	6	65.0	35.0	
Lisbon	0	0	0	23	0	48.0	52.0	0	0	0	23	**	52.0	48.0	
Manchester	0	**	12	**	**	62.0	38.0	0	**	11	**	9	35.0	65.0	
Mansfield	0	**	**	9	**	50.0	50.0	0	**	**	**	**	58.0	42.0	
Milford	0	**	**	15	**	52.0	48.0	0	**	0	12	**	71.0	29.0	
Naugatuck	0	**	**	10	**	59.0	41.0	0	**	**	9	**	44.0	56.0	

October 2008								October 2009							
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	% Female	% Male	
North Canaan	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Plainfield	0	0	**	11	**	56.0	44.0	0	0	0	8	**	40.0	60.0	
Plainville	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Plymouth	0	**	0	15	0	63.0	37.0	0	0	**	12	**	73.0	27.0	
Preston	0	0	0	20	0	45.0	55.0	0	0	0	20	**	38.0	62.0	
Scotland	0	0	0	20	0	70.0	30.0	0	0	**	17	**	55.0	45.0	
Seymour	0	0	0	10	**	46.0	54.0	0	0	0	**	**	33.0	67.0	
Shelton	0	0	**	8	10	45.0	55.0	0	0	0	10	**	57.0	43.0	
Sprague	0	0	**	25	**	39.0	61.0	0	**	**	23	**	53.0	47.0	
Stafford	0	**	0	15	0	35.0	65.0	0	**	0	13	**	53.0	47.0	
Sterling	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Stratford	0	0	**	**	**	63.0	37.0	0	0	6	**	**	50.0	50.0	
Thomaston	0	**	0	20	**	50.0	50.0	0	0	**	18	**	50.0	50.0	
Thompson	0	0	0	15	**	71.0	29.0	0	0	**	15	**	59.0	41.0	
Torrington	0	0	0	11	**	63.0	37.0	0	0	**	12	7	40.0	60.0	
Vernon	0	0	0	0	**	0.0	100.0	0	0	**	0	**	33.0	67.0	
Voluntown	**	0	0	11	0	58.0	42.0	0	0	0	13	**	50.0	50.0	
West Hartford	0	**	**	**	7	67.0	33.0	0	**	**	**	**	53.0	47.0	
Winchester	0	0	0	10	**	77.0	33.0	0	0	0	6	**	89.0	11.0	
Windsor	0	**	6	**	**	42.0	58.0	0	**	10	**	**	43.0	57.0	
Windsor Locks	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Wolcott	**	**	**	23	0	52.0	48.0	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	UA	
Woodstock	0	0	0	14	0	21.0	79.0	0	0	0	**	0	100.0	0.0	

October 2010									October 2011							
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Andover	0	0	0	19	0	**	52.4	47.6	0	0	0	20	*	*	34.8	65.2
Ashford	0	**	0	18	**	**	54.2	45.8	0	0	*	17	*	0	63.6	36.4
Beacon Falls	0	0	0	13	**	0	52.9	47.1	0	0	0	13	*	0	52.9	47.1
Branford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Brooklyn	0	0	**	31	**	0	44.1	55.9	*	0	*	27	*	*	47.4	52.6
Canterbury	0	0	**	21	**	**	66.7	33.3	0	0	0	23	0	*	58.3	41.7
Chaplin	0	**	0	19	0	0	45.0	55.0	0	*	0	16	*	0	65.0	35.0
Colchester	0	0	**	12	0	0	92.3	7.7	0	0	*	13	*	*	75.0	25.0
Coventry	0	0	0	18	**	0	28.6	71.4	0	*	0	19	0	0	35.0	65.0
Derby	0	0	**	**	**	0	70.0	30.0	0	0	*	6	7	0	46.7	53.3
East Haven	0	**	0	**	**	0	54.5	45.5	0	*	*	*	6	0	41.7	58.3
Eastford	0	0	0	12	0	**	61.5	38.5	*	0	0	12	*	0	64.3	35.7
Ellington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	*	0	0	0.0	100.0
Enfield	0	**	**	11	**	0	62.5	37.5	0	*	*	10	0	0	46.7	53.3
Greenwich	0	0	**	0	12	0	53.8	46.2	0	0	*	0	9	0	58.3	41.7
Griswold	0	**	**	10	0	**	26.7	73.3	0	0	0	16	0	0	43.8	56.3
Groton	**	0	9	**	9	0	37.5	62.5	0	*	*	*	*	0	37.5	62.5
Hamden	0	0	16	**	7	0	48.0	52.0	0	*	*	*	7	0	35.7	64.3
Hampton	0	0	0	13	**	**	50.0	50.0	0	*	0	14	*	*	64.7	35.3
Hebron	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Killingly	0	**	0	7	0	0	25.0	75.0	0	0	0	10	0	*	27.3	72.7
Lebanon	**	0	0	29	**	0	50.0	50.0	*	*	*	24	*	0	55.9	44.1
Ledyard	**	0	**	**	3	0	58.3	41.7	0	0	0	13	0	*	42.9	57.1
Lisbon	0	**	0	11	0	0	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	15	0	*	50.0	50.0
Manchester	0	**	11	0	6	0	55.6	44.4	0	*	16	0	*	0	57.1	42.9
Mansfield	0	**	**	7	**	0	57.1	42.9	0	*	*	*	0	0	50.0	50.0
Milford	0	**	**	18	**	0	46.4	53.6	0	*	*	14	0	0	62.5	37.5
Naugatuck	0	**	**	10	**	**	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	13	*	0	50.0	50.0
North Canaan	0	0	0	8	**	0	46.2	53.8	0	0	0	*	0	0	100.0	0.0

October 2010									October 2011							
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Plainfield	0	**	**	12	**	0	68.8	31.3	0	*	0	14	*	0	68.8	31.3
Plainville	0	**	0	10	**	0	57.1	42.9	0	*	0	13	*	0	58.8	41.2
Plymouth	0	**	0	12	**	0	28.6	71.4	0	0	*	15	0	0	56.3	43.8
Preston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Scotland	0	0	0	14	0	0	64.3	35.7	0	0	0	10	*	0	72.7	27.3
Seymour	0	**	**	10	**	0	31.3	68.8	0	*	*	9	*	0	58.3	41.7
Shelton	0	0	0	9	0	0	66.7	33.3	0	0	0	11	*	0	69.2	30.8
Sprague	0	0	0	27	**	**	33.3	66.7	0	0	0	25	*	*	46.7	53.3
Stafford	0	0	0	6	0	**	37.5	62.5	0	0	0	*	*	0	50.0	50.0
Sterling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Stratford	0	**	21	6	19	**	52.1	47.9	0	0	8	*	7	*	31.6	68.4
Thomaston	0	0	0	15	0	0	33.3	66.7	0	0	0	17	0	0	52.9	47.1
Thompson	**	0	0	17	**	0	36.8	63.2	0	0	0	18	*	*	65.0	35.0
Torrington	0	0	**	9	0	0	70.0	30.0	0	0	*	12	*	0	70.6	29.4
Vernon	0	0	**	7	**	0	11.1	88.9	0	*	*	6	*	0	9.1	90.9
Voluntown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
West Hartford	0	7	**	**	10	0	65.2	34.8	0	*	*	*	7	0	71.4	28.6
Winchester	0	0	0	**	**	0	33.3	66.7	0	0	0	6	0	0	50.0	50.0
Windsor	0	**	18	**	**	**	54.5	45.5	0	*	11	*	*	0	47.1	52.9
Windsor Locks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Wolcott	0	0	**	11	0	0	66.7	33.3	0	*	*	*	*	0	50.0	50.0
Woodstock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

October 2012									October 2013								
Resident Town	American Indian		Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian		Black, Not of Hispanic Origin	White, Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
Andover	0	0	0	22	*	*	44.0	56.0	0	0	15	*	0	*	35.3	64.7	
Ashford	0	0	*	17	*	0	45.5	54.5	0	*	17	*	0	0	40.9	59.1	
Beacon Falls	0	0	0	6	*	0	28.6	71.4	0	0	8	0	0	0	62.5	37.5	
Branford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	
Brooklyn	0	0	*	32	*	*	37.5	62.5	*	*	27	6	0	*	44.4	55.6	
Canterbury	0	0	0	20	*	*	50.0	50.0	0	0	22	*	0	*	48.0	52.0	
Chaplin	0	0	0	19	*	0	30.0	70.0	0	0	17	*	0	0	50.0	50.0	
Colchester	0	0	0	18	*	0	45.0	55.0	0	*	18	*	0	0	57.1	42.9	
Coventry	0	0	*	13	0	0	28.6	71.4	0	0	16	0	0	0	37.5	62.5	
Derby	0	*	*	6	10	*	59.1	40.9	0	6	*	9	0	0	57.9	42.1	
East Haven	0	*	0	12	8	0	33.3	66.7	*	0	27	*	0	*	52.9	47.1	
Eastford	0	0	*	9	0	*	58.3	41.7	0	0	12	0	0	*	30.8	69.2	
Ellington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	0	50.0	50.0	
Enfield	0	*	*	15	*	0	36.4	63.6	0	*	22	*	0	0	51.9	48.1	
Greenwich	0	*	*	*	20	0	47.8	52.2	*	*	*	23	0	0	46.4	53.6	
Griswold	0	0	0	28	0	*	51.7	48.3	*	*	20	*	0	*	10.7	89.3	
Groton	0	*	8	16	8	0	48.5	51.5	*	13	10	10	0	0	62.9	37.1	
Hamden	0	0	9	*	12	*	45.8	54.2	*	9	*	9	0	*	56.0	44.0	
Hampton	0	0	0	18	*	0	26.3	73.7	0	0	14	*	0	0	37.5	62.5	
Hebron	0	0	0	0	*	0	100.0	0.0	*	0	15	*	0	*	55.0	45.0	
Killingly	0	0	*	20	*	0	50.0	50.0	0	*	28	*	0	0	53.3	46.7	
Lebanon	*	*	0	37	0	0	30.0	70.0	0	*	14	*	0	0	33.3	66.7	
Ledyard	*	*	0	14	*	*	61.9	38.1	*	*	23	*	0	6	61.8	38.2	
Lisbon	0	*	0	15	*	*	54.5	45.5	0	0	16	*	0	*	50.0	50.0	
Manchester	0	0	12	*	*	0	50.0	50.0	*	8	*	*	0	0	55.0	45.0	
Mansfield	*	*	*	*	*	0	50.0	50.0	*	*	8	0	0	0	64.3	35.7	
Milford	0	*	*	12	*	0	50.0	50.0	*	0	12	0	0	0	33.3	66.7	
Naugatuck	0	*	*	15	7	*	57.1	42.9	*	*	23	9	0	*	46.2	53.8	
North Canaan	0	0	0	*	0	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	

October 2012									October 2013							
Resident Town	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of	White, Not of	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M	American Indian	Asian	Black, Not of	White, Not of	Hispanic/Latino	Two or More Races	% F	% M
			Hispanic Origin	Hispanic Origin		Hispanic Origin					Hispanic Origin					
Plainfield	0	*	0	16	0	0	38.9	61.1	*	0	15	*	0	0	55.6	44.4
Plainville	0	0	*	14	*	0	35.3	64.7	0	0	13	0	0	0	23.1	76.9
Plymouth	0	0	*	15	*	0	47.1	52.9	0	*	10	*	0	0	53.8	46.2
Preston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Scotland	0	0	0	11	0	0	45.5	54.5	0	0	17	0	0	*	66.7	33.3
Seymour	0	*	*	11	*	0	47.1	52.9	0	*	11	*	0	0	29.4	70.6
Shelton	0	*	*	7	*	0	60.0	40.0	0	*	9	*	0	0	75.0	25.0
Sprague	0	0	*	23	*	*	46.7	53.3	0	0	21	7	0	*	60.0	40.0
Stafford	0	0	0	*	0	*	57.1	42.9	0	0	12	*	0	0	53.8	46.2
Sterling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	12	*	0	*	37.5	62.5
Stratford	0	0	10	*	8	*	37.5	62.5	*	10	*	8	0	*	42.3	57.7
Thomaston	0	0	0	15	0	0	20.0	80.0	0	0	13	*	0	0	35.7	64.3
Thompson	0	*	0	20	0	*	59.1	40.9	0	*	16	0	0	0	77.8	22.2
Torrington	0	0	0	14	8	0	45.5	54.5	0	0	19	8	0	0	44.4	55.6
Vernon	0	*	*	17	*	0	45.8	54.2	*	*	15	*	0	0	36.0	64.0
Voluntown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	16	0	*	*	61.1	38.9
West Hartford	0	7	0	*	9	0	66.7	33.3	7	*	8	14	0	*	45.7	54.3
Winchester	0	*	*	11	*	0	46.7	53.3	0	*	11	*	0	0	31.3	68.8
Windsor	0	0	16	7	*	0	36.0	64.0	*	10	8	*	0	0	52.4	47.6
Windsor Locks	0	*	0	16	*	*	56.5	43.5	*	*	14	6	0	*	44.0	56.0
Wolcott	0	0	0	13	0	0	38.5	61.5	0	*	8	0	0	0	33.3	66.7
Woodstock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5: Number of Children in Care4Kids by Town and Age Group

Resident Town	June 2009			June 2010			June 2011		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Andover	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	3	0
Ansonia	73	69	70	75	80	87	82	90	87
Ashford	7	10	2	6	6	4	5	4	2
Avon	3	5	5	3	7	5	2	4	6
Barkhamsted	2	3	3	1	2	2	3	6	4
Beacon Falls	4	4	4	2	6	7	7	3	3
Berlin	8	9	5	14	10	11	6	14	10
Bethany	4	2	1	1	3	1	3	3	2
Bethel	12	20	16	20	13	11	18	16	19
Bethlehem	0	1	2	3	1	0	2	3	0
Bloomfield	60	52	63	72	73	55	86	54	64
Bolton	1	3	2	2	2	4	3	2	3
Bozrah	5	1	1	2	3	1	6	5	5
Branford	28	27	25	34	33	24	25	22	26
Bridgeport	768	869	407	810	872	387	804	835	417
Bridgewater	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Bristol	160	202	156	143	201	183	121	175	165
Brookfield	9	11	4	10	12	4	12	16	8
Brooklyn	11	13	9	18	15	17	14	16	12
Burlington	2	0	2	1	2	3	3	4	0
Canaan	0	3	1	2	2	0	7	6	2
Canterbury	7	5	9	3	11	2	7	8	3
Canton	4	6	0	5	9	3	0	12	8
Chaplin	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	2	1
Cheshire	8	13	4	14	16	7	16	15	13
Chester	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	2	0
Clinton	10	14	15	10	7	12	14	14	6
Colchester	21	24	20	26	34	16	25	35	20
Colebrook	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	8	7	2	4	1	1	0	5
Cornwall	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	0	1
Coventry	10	11	16	9	13	13	14	8	6
Cromwell	15	16	15	15	11	21	10	15	12

Resident Town	June 2009			June 2010			June 2011		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Danbury	118	168	110	122	197	115	126	181	106
Darien	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
Deep River	2	2	0	2	4	0	3	7	0
Derby	42	35	29	42	44	29	37	50	36
Durham	0	2	3	1	0	1	1	1	1
East Granby	7	7	6	4	4	7	5	2	6
East Haddam	4	10	1	3	7	1	3	2	3
East Hampton	14	10	5	8	12	11	11	16	8
East Hartford	385	360	378	387	360	358	252	277	246
East Haven	7	18	36	2	10	16	64	68	71
East Lyme	16	17	7	14	12	11	15	14	6
East Windsor	30	25	28	24	38	20	29	41	22
Eastford	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	1
Easton	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Ellington	12	17	8	10	20	15	12	22	16
Enfield	101	117	175	96	145	156	90	130	145
Essex	6	6	4	3	3	3	3	3	1
Fairfield	13	13	12	22	27	17	31	20	16
Farmington	8	19	9	8	26	11	18	13	12
Franklin	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0
Glastonbury	15	23	14	13	21	19	14	18	25
Goshen	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	4
Granby	1	2	7	9	2	9	5	7	1
Greenwich	11	25	3	22	28	6	35	37	9
Griswold	14	27	16	22	21	18	19	26	18
Groton	86	79	64	75	89	54	80	76	70
Guilford	5	7	16	10	21	7	10	23	13
Haddam	0	8	2	5	9	3	7	4	3
Hamden	51	52	66	50	49	54	138	127	114
Hampton	1	0	0	2	2	1	0	2	2
Hartford	374	382	388	396	458	355	836	1011	808
Hartland	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	3
Harwinton	2	3	1	4	4	7	6	4	4
Hebron	2	5	1	3	7	6	6	4	5
Kent	2	4	1	2	3	5	1	4	1

Resident Town	June 2009			June 2010			June 2011		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Killingly	44	56	36	38	47	39	46	43	30
Killingworth	4	4	0	1	4	1	4	5	1
Lebanon	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	4	7
Ledyard	16	26	11	13	18	20	22	21	20
Lisbon	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
Litchfield	3	8	2	6	1	4	6	1	3
Lyme	2	6	5	2	3	5	0	1	0
Madison	10	10	1	8	7	3	2	12	5
Manchester	156	181	200	144	176	203	184	176	181
Mansfield	8	10	6	4	11	9	11	16	9
Marlborough	6	6	6	2	6	7	1	6	6
Meriden	215	257	238	233	324	242	222	312	259
Middlebury	3	4	10	4	4	8	3	4	2
Middlefield	5	1	1	1	4	2	5	5	2
Middletown	96	141	92	115	153	111	98	161	100
Milford	43	39	49	37	44	36	36	53	30
Monroe	8	10	4	8	6	6	8	7	4
Montville	22	32	13	27	37	17	29	25	27
Morris	1	3	2	1	5	2	3	2	0
Naugatuck	58	77	74	60	68	66	48	79	74
New Britain	340	363	347	322	342	334	326	381	334
New Canaan	1	4	3	3	3	6	2	6	6
New Fairfield	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	12	6
New Hartford	0	2	5	5	5	4	1	3	0
New Haven	1145	1007	889	1099	1098	887	692	777	610
New London	77	107	118	89	110	126	125	106	124
New Milford	18	24	15	32	25	22	27	32	24
Newington	28	28	30	38	44	39	43	43	31
Newtown	6	6	7	10	8	7	3	13	7
Norfolk	2	1	1	4	1	0	5	4	2
North Branford	9	13	10	9	11	9	14	13	5
North Canaan	4	4	2	5	5	1	9	6	2
North Haven	12	18	12	21	19	15	24	22	17
North Stonington	4	2	4	3	2	0	4	4	3
Norwalk	161	169	108	156	195	106	142	207	125

Resident Town	June 2009			June 2010			June 2011		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Norwich	120	144	113	132	150	141	130	165	142
Old Lyme	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	1
Old Saybrook	8	7	4	4	4	5	10	7	4
Orange	2	2	0	5	2	3	3	3	2
Oxford	6	7	2	4	8	4	6	7	3
Plainfield	29	39	27	40	39	32	22	43	27
Plainville	25	45	27	24	29	34	14	30	29
Pomfret Center	4	6	2	4	2	0	2	5	2
Portland	6	7	3	7	12	5	13	12	8
Preston	1	1	3	1	1	3	4	2	6
Prospect	2	11	6	5	8	5	2	7	6
Putnam	36	35	40	40	21	11	36	29	26
Redding	0	0	3	2	0	3	1	0	3
Ridgefield	2	1	1	1	8	5	5	5	4
Rocky Hill	18	16	9	21	22	11	22	33	18
Roxbury	1	0	1	1	3	3	0	1	1
Salem	3	2	4	1	2	1	2	4	0
Salisbury	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	4
Scotland	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seymour	15	17	13	19	19	18	16	21	22
Sharon	1	4	0	0	4	2	0	2	0
Shelton	27	29	19	29	39	36	37	42	46
Sherman	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	2
Simsbury	15	9	5	11	7	9	12	9	10
Somers	10	11	12	5	7	11	11	8	11
South Windsor	18	22	14	12	19	17	13	23	15
Southbury	4	10	7	5	6	3	8	10	1
Southington	31	50	52	36	48	46	27	59	53
Sprague	11	11	3	7	15	8	6	10	8
Stafford	1	3	2	0	1	0	19	21	16
Stamford	112	200	91	109	233	128	156	244	114
Sterling	8	11	17	6	6	15	3	5	12
Stonington	20	25	19	23	30	12	25	36	18
Stratford	96	115	81	112	135	92	112	139	92
Suffield	7	10	19	6	6	17	10	5	11

Resident Town	June 2009			June 2010			June 2011		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Terryville	2	5	3	4	4	5	13	16	21
Thomaston	7	9	16	7	12	15	11	15	14
Thompson	13	17	11	19	12	14	5	5	8
Tolland	13	13	8	10	13	9	11	14	12
Torrington	95	84	114	80	101	122	73	109	94
Trumbull	10	16	7	9	14	0	13	15	5
Union	11	18	10	12	21	9	0	0	0
Vernon	76	90	63	67	95	66	76	100	70
Voluntown	1	4	3	1	3	3	1	6	5
Wallingford	63	80	73	71	81	67	64	87	73
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	4	1	0	2	2	1	1	2
Waterbury	602	649	597	615	683	618	567	633	525
Waterford	18	14	14	12	20	14	19	21	12
Watertown	24	25	12	24	25	22	17	27	35
West Hartford	390	457	399	427	483	413	79	68	55
West Haven	9	12	21	6	4	4	187	241	183
Westbrook	6	1	2	6	2	1	5	7	3
Weston	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Westport	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	1
Wethersfield	28	38	20	24	36	28	22	42	26
Willington	4	4	8	5	2	4	5	4	6
Wilton	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	2	0
Winchester	19	26	30	18	25	41	18	30	26
Windham/Willimantic	113	115	96	112	124	87	91	124	80
Windsor	81	81	81	73	84	81	79	94	62
Windsor Locks	22	24	13	22	34	19	25	41	25
Wolcott	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	27	15
Woodbridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
Woodbury	3	3	0	2	4	2	8	4	3
Woodstock	6	1	3	2	6	2	2	4	2
Total	7,334	8,119	6,768	7,477	8,653	6,873	7,485	8,895	6,828

Resident Town	June 2012			June 2013			June 2014		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Andover	4	5	3	3	4	2	1	2	2
Ansonia	84	82	103	96	81	71	71	71	94
Ashford	5	7	4	4	8	4	4	7	2
Avon	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	4
Barkhamsted	2	3	7	2	5	1	1	3	1
Beacon Falls	4	3	2	2	8	5	6	12	4
Berlin	15	25	20	17	25	15	16	14	15
Bethany	6	3	1	6	5	1	5	4	2
Bethel	19	24	20	21	29	21	17	28	15
Bethlehem	1	3	2	1	4	1	4	4	1
Bloomfield	76	55	51	55	55	40	47	52	35
Bolton	1	1	0	0	6	1	1	1	1
Bozrah	2	2	5	3	4	3	2	2	2
Branford	26	36	26	27	31	24	25	22	24
Bridgeport	878	866	407	849	836	463	848	833	461
Bridgewater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bristol	109	157	155	134	174	144	129	181	145
Brookfield	10	14	5	11	10	5	7	11	6
Brooklyn	21	23	13	19	25	8	7	18	10
Burlington	1	8	2	1	2	0	3	2	1
Canaan	7	5	3	3	4	6	2	2	6
Canterbury	6	4	1	5	5	2	7	6	0
Canton	4	8	4	5	1	4	10	3	2
Chaplin	1	2	1	2	2	0	3	4	1
Cheshire	10	15	14	8	17	9	10	17	8
Chester	3	5	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
Clinton	13	11	8	16	19	11	10	14	10
Colchester	17	30	27	12	33	23	17	28	23
Colebrook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Columbia	3	3	4	1	5	8	1	3	7
Cornwall	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coventry	9	7	4	10	10	5	18	12	7
Cromwell	16	23	19	11	16	9	10	13	15
Danbury	162	275	120	153	239	84	166	236	120
Darien	3	0	2	5	3	3	2	2	6

Resident Town	June 2012			June 2013			June 2014		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Deep River	2	5	1	2	0	0	1	3	1
Derby	52	48	48	46	47	42	39	31	39
Durham	5	1	1	2	1	4	1	4	4
East Granby	5	3	4	5	7	2	3	3	6
East Haddam	4	2	4	8	1	3	6	2	1
East Hampton	9	16	8	17	16	9	10	13	9
East Hartford	242	285	250	223	254	204	230	219	255
East Haven	49	66	55	59	65	53	55	58	52
East Lyme	10	17	14	10	12	9	9	12	9
East Windsor	37	40	29	38	39	32	38	28	38
Eastford	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Easton	1	1	2	1	3	0	0	2	1
Ellington	13	18	11	4	12	17	6	18	14
Enfield	84	126	154	87	121	127	88	100	159
Essex	5	6	0	3	3	0	4	4	0
Fairfield	19	26	21	19	24	17	23	16	14
Farmington	13	13	18	12	8	10	10	14	9
Franklin	2	0	1	2	3	0	3	0	0
Glastonbury	21	15	29	20	15	15	14	18	20
Goshen	0	2	4	2	0	0	1	0	0
Granby	3	6	1	2	8	5	4	4	3
Greenwich	40	36	10	28	44	18	21	36	19
Griswold	25	23	27	22	27	22	20	22	19
Groton	81	71	51	89	75	69	93	89	62
Guilford	9	11	14	6	12	12	7	13	18
Haddam	5	6	4	5	9	10	6	7	5
Hamden	125	126	108	123	129	88	131	135	95
Hampton	1	2	2	1	1	5	0	1	2
Hartford	799	942	772	760	836	740	754	760	732
Hartland	3	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	0
Harwinton	1	2	7	3	0	3	2	1	4
Hebron	8	8	5	8	6	2	8	5	8
Kent	4	2	0	1	1	0	2	2	3
Killingly	54	59	46	57	47	56	49	59	56
Killingworth	4	2	1	1	2	0	2	3	1

Resident Town	June 2012			June 2013			June 2014		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Lebanon	4	9	5	11	11	7	3	3	6
Ledyard	17	24	21	16	26	16	14	21	11
Lisbon	0	3	2	1	1	0	7	3	3
Litchfield	3	3	1	1	0	2	3	2	3
Lyme	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	1	2
Madison	0	8	3	1	2	1	2	0	2
Manchester	173	211	170	152	200	168	138	205	179
Mansfield	13	14	10	8	13	11	5	7	3
Marlborough	1	6	6	1	3	6	3	3	5
Meriden	253	282	250	239	307	274	236	263	242
Middlebury	1	2	5	5	5	7	2	5	4
Middlefield	2	2	0	3	5	1	2	1	0
Middletown	113	162	108	115	135	113	117	89	100
Milford	43	57	30	40	42	35	39	36	26
Monroe	11	12	8	11	10	2	9	7	0
Montville	23	22	22	21	35	31	35	35	32
Morris	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Naugatuck	70	79	78	64	74	80	60	75	79
New Britain	341	331	313	304	347	303	300	345	277
New Canaan	3	6	1	5	5	2	4	5	4
New Fairfield	13	11	9	8	10	6	4	12	3
New Hartford	1	5	2	5	4	6	1	6	2
New Haven	721	789	634	674	716	588	738	690	687
New London	132	136	164	106	109	147	112	122	135
New Milford	35	38	16	25	34	20	28	45	36
Newington	41	53	34	39	46	35	35	52	29
Newtown	8	8	9	4	6	9	3	7	6
Norfolk	6	3	1	4	3	2	2	3	0
North Branford	14	12	4	9	10	6	9	11	6
North Canaan	6	5	3	3	6	6	2	1	6
North Haven	16	20	19	18	16	13	20	10	12
North Stonington	4	3	4	4	3	2	7	6	5
Norwalk	154	209	152	160	198	152	121	171	156
Norwich	151	171	174	137	165	119	130	159	150
Old Lyme	5	2	3	8	4	1	4	4	0

Resident Town	June 2012			June 2013			June 2014		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Old Saybrook	7	6	6	7	5	5	2	8	5
Orange	2	5	2	3	6	2	4	2	1
Oxford	7	6	2	8	4	2	3	6	2
Plainfield	22	50	27	29	27	29	23	28	31
Plainville	23	28	30	21	22	26	20	24	19
Pomfret Center	2	5	2	4	3	3	1	0	1
Portland	12	9	9	18	13	10	10	15	13
Preston	7	4	2	5	0	2	6	5	3
Prospect	3	6	6	7	11	4	8	6	2
Putnam	27	38	30	21	29	22	20	27	28
Redding	1	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
Ridgefield	4	6	5	2	5	0	3	5	1
Rocky Hill	17	28	18	16	22	20	17	17	15
Roxbury	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
Salem	3	5	4	2	2	3	2	4	2
Salisbury	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
Scotland	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Seymour	20	14	29	29	16	22	26	18	23
Sharon	1	1	2	3	4	3	5	3	0
Shelton	35	43	51	42	31	36	31	41	34
Sherman	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2
Simsbury	10	10	9	7	13	6	8	13	7
Somers	6	7	14	10	13	12	7	5	6
South Windsor	13	23	11	12	24	15	20	15	13
Southbury	5	6	4	8	6	5	12	14	7
Southington	38	69	51	28	77	61	30	58	31
Sprague	8	6	4	10	6	4	7	5	1
Stafford	28	26	17	18	26	16	19	25	20
Stamford	176	215	126	140	246	131	138	214	173
Sterling	3	4	4	8	6	5	5	5	2
Stonington	24	31	24	29	38	22	24	33	20
Stratford	126	118	95	103	119	79	129	113	98
Suffield	7	9	8	3	11	5	6	8	8
Terryville	8	22	16	10	18	17	14	18	16
Thomaston	7	13	17	8	9	14	10	11	13

Resident Town	June 2012			June 2013			June 2014		
	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age	Infant/Toddler	Preschool	School Age
Thompson	9	6	2	6	4	1	8	8	6
Tolland	10	14	6	6	20	8	7	13	12
Torrington	68	109	100	82	94	100	59	90	96
Trumbull	11	21	6	15	11	13	15	17	8
Union	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Vernon	90	117	77	85	93	84	62	89	81
Voluntown	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	1	3
Wallingford	68	94	89	68	103	68	49	80	98
Warren	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Washington	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	2
Waterbury	585	638	579	543	653	590	564	521	609
Waterford	22	19	17	18	21	13	13	12	15
Watertown	19	26	31	27	27	26	29	26	29
West Hartford	69	81	52	69	65	49	55	56	60
West Haven	195	250	174	170	225	166	177	220	158
Westbrook	6	7	1	8	9	4	9	9	3
Weston	0	0	0	2	1	0	3	2	0
Westport	3	4	0	5	4	1	6	5	2
Wethersfield	28	30	28	22	27	21	22	35	33
Willington	8	8	5	6	10	1	6	8	6
Wilton	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0
Winchester	21	30	31	16	34	32	13	25	19
Windham/Willimantic	85	141	68	80	122	88	112	104	81
Windsor	62	74	57	70	70	54	83	78	71
Windsor Locks	28	37	25	21	19	32	19	21	29
Wolcott	19	16	14	12	16	13	21	18	11
Woodbridge	3	4	2	3	3	1	2	3	2
Woodbury	4	6	3	7	8	3	1	4	5
Woodstock	5	4	3	4	5	6	10	11	11
Total	7,711	8,950	7,002	7,324	8,513	6,685	7,258	7,909	6,949

Table 6: Geographic and Demographic Distribution of Students in Child Day Care Programs⁶

October 2012								October 2013						
Resident Town	American Indian or Alaska Native		Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male
	Andover	0	0	*	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	100.0
Ansonia	0	0	10	11	11	53.1	46.9	0	0	12	7	8	55.6	44.4
Ashford	0	*	0	0	*	66.7	33.3	0	0	0	*	*	50.0	50.0
Bethany	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0
Berlin	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bethel	0	0	0	*	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	*	*	0.0	100.0
Bloomfield	0	0	40	*	0	58.5	41.5	0	0	33	0	*	55.9	44.1
Bolton	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Branford	0	*	*	*	25	50.0	50.0	0	*	*	*	27	37.1	62.9
Bridgeport	0	0	20	10	*	50.0	50.0	0	0	20	7	0	44.4	55.6
Bristol	0	0	*	17	19	53.7	46.3	0	*	8	9	11	44.8	55.2
Brooklyn	0	0	0	*	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Burlington	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Canterbury	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0
Chaplin	0	0	*	*	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Clinton	0	0	0	*	*	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Colchester	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Colebrook	0	0	*	0	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	*	0	0	0	100.0	0.0
Coventry	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	*	0	*	50.0	50.0
Cromwell	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	*	0	100.0	0.0
Danbury	0	*	14	102	*	50.8	49.2	0	*	11	118	*	47.7	52.3
Darien	0	0	0	*	0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	*	0	100.0	0.0
Derby	0	0	*	14	*	50.0	50.0	0	0	6	*	*	43.8	56.3
Eastford	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
East Hartford	0	0	24	17	*	48.8	51.2	0	0	20	11	*	51.4	48.6
East Haven	0	0	0	*	*	83.3	16.7	0	0	0	*	*	57.1	42.9
East Lyme	0	0	*	0	6	25.0	75.0	0	0	*	0	*	40.0	60.0
East Windsor	0	0	*	0	0	0.0	100.0	0	0	*	0	0	0.0	100.0
Ellington	0	0	0	*	*	50.0	50.0	0	0	0	*	*	71.4	28.6
Enfield	0	0	*	0	7	63.6	36.4	0	0	7	*	11	42.1	57.9
Glastonbury	0	0	*	0	*	66.7	33.3	0	0	*	0	*	0.0	100.0

October 2012								October 2013						
Resident Town	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male
Goshen	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	*	0	0	100.0	0.0
Greenwich	0	0	*	16	16	56.8	43.2	0	*	*	18	14	61.1	38.9
Griswold	0	0	*	*	11	50.0	50.0	0	0	*	*	16	52.2	47.8
Groton	0	*	10	*	13	53.6	46.4	*	*	10	7	11	48.4	51.6
Guilford	0	0	0	*	16	31.6	68.4	0	*	0	*	15	33.3	66.7
Hamden	0	0	20	8	*	39.4	60.6	0	0	22	*	*	51.6	48.4
Hartford	0	*	101	24	*	51.6	48.4	*	*	80	23	*	54.2	45.8
Hartland	0	0	0	*	0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Killingly	0	0	*	0	*	75.0	25.0	0	0	*	*	*	0.0	100.0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0
Ledyard	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	50.0	50.0
Lisbon	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Madison	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	66.7	33.3
Manchester	0	0	28	18	*	62.7	37.3	0	*	40	13	6	60.0	40.0
Mansfield	0	*	0	*	7	54.5	45.5	0	8	0	0	7	40.0	60.0
Meriden	0	0	7	26	17	54.0	46.0	0	*	14	31	6	61.5	38.5
Middletown	*	0	12	*	*	36.8	63.2	0	*	11	*	*	36.8	63.2
Milford	0	*	10	*	24	52.4	47.6	0	*	8	*	17	46.7	53.3
Montville	0	0	*	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	*	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Naugatuck	0	0	*	12	23	63.2	36.8	0	*	*	12	18	45.5	54.5
New Britain	0	*	*	*	*	38.5	61.5	0	0	8	*	*	33.3	66.7
New Canaan	0	0	*	*	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	*	*	*	80.0	20.0
New Hartford	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
New Haven	0	0	*	*	0	50.0	50.0	0	0	*	*	0	66.7	33.3
Newington	0	*	*	7	17	63.0	37.0	0	0	*	*	13	66.7	33.3
New London	0	0	6	9	*	44.4	55.6	0	*	*	11	*	47.6	52.4
New Milford	0	*	*	7	15	56.0	44.0	0	0	*	8	12	31.8	68.2
Newtown	0	0	*	*	11	57.1	42.9	0	0	0	*	16	64.7	35.3
Norfolk	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0
North Branford	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
North Haven	0	*	*	*	*	50.0	50.0	0	*	*	*	*	33.3	66.7

October 2012								October 2013						
Resident Town	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male
North Stonington	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0
Norwalk	0	*	13	17	*	64.7	35.3	0	0	11	20	*	55.9	44.1
Norwich	0	*	*	*	11	55.0	45.0	0	*	*	*	8	56.3	43.8
Old Lyme	0	0	0	*	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Orange	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Plainfield	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Plainville	0	0	*	12	13	53.8	46.2	0	0	*	*	17	58.3	41.7
Plymouth	0	0	0	*	8	50.0	50.0	0	0	*	*	*	40.0	60.0
Portland	0	0	*	0	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	*	0	100.0	0.0
Preston	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0
Prospect	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Ridgefield	0	0	0	*	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Salem	0	0	*	0	0	100.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Seymour	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	*	*	33.3	66.7
Shelton	0	0	0	*	9	27.3	72.7	0	0	*	*	7	36.4	63.6
Simsbury	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	*	0	0	100.0	0.0
Somers	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Southbury	0	0	0	*	0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	*	33.3	66.7
Southington	0	0	*	*	15	57.1	42.9	0	0	*	6	31	67.5	32.5
South Windsor	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	*	*	0	100.0	0.0
Sprague	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	*	0	*	50.0	50.0
Stafford	0	0	0	0	*	100.0	0.0	0	0	*	*	0	33.3	66.7
Stamford	0	*	52	153	15	54.5	45.5	0	*	57	141	27	51.3	48.7
Stratford	0	0	*	0	*	80.0	20.0	0	0	6	*	0	25.0	75.0
Tolland	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	*	0	0.0	100.0
Torrington	0	0	*	*	17	37.5	62.5	0	0	*	8	14	34.6	65.4
Trumbull	0	0	0	*	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Vernon	0	*	20	8	17	52.2	47.8	0	*	9	6	14	56.7	43.3
Voluntown	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	50.0	50.0
Wallingford	0	*	*	9	13	62.1	37.9	0	*	*	*	10	52.9	47.1
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
Waterbury	0	0	0	*	*	33.3	66.7	0	0	*	*	*	50.0	50.0
Waterford	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	*	*	50.0	50.0

October 2012								October 2013						
Resident Town	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Hispanic/Latino of any race	White	% Female	% Male
Watertown	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0
West Hartford	0	*	7	*	*	36.8	63.2	0	*	6	7	6	47.8	52.2
West Haven	0	*	28	15	14	56.9	43.1	0	*	20	12	14	48.9	51.1
Wethersfield	0	0	0	*	*	20.0	80.0	0	0	*	0	*	20.0	80.0
Willington	0	*	0	*	*	25.0	75.0	0	0	0	0	*	50.0	50.0
Winchester	0	0	0	*	6	33.3	66.7	0	*	0	*	8	60.0	40.0
Windham	0	*	*	37	11	56.0	44.0	*	0	*	37	13	60.0	40.0
Windsor	0	0	25	*	*	55.2	44.8	0	0	31	*	*	74.3	25.7
Windsor Locks	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	*	*	0	0	50.0	50.0
Wolcott	0	0	*	0	0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Woodbury	0	0	0	0	*	0.0	100.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0

Table 7: Number of Children 0-4 in Poverty⁷

Resident Town	2011	2012	2013
Andover	20	18	19
Ansonia	446	574	677
Ashford	13	12	17
Avon	72	67	69
Barkhamsted	0	0	0
Beacon Falls	0	0	0
Berlin	0	0	0
Bethany	0	0	0
Bethel	63	56	75
Bethlehem	0	0	0
Bloomfield	9	13	10
Bolton	0	0	0
Bozrah	8	0	0
Branford	64	97	113
Bridgeport	2,922	3,224	3,449
Bridgewater	0	0	0
Bristol	361	358	458
Brookfield	36	38	20
Brooklyn	37	37	44
Burlington	46	44	42
Canaan	9	10	6
Canterbury	0	0	0
Canton	53	70	49
Chaplin	0	0	0
Cheshire	0	0	0
Chester	0	0	0
Clinton	33	40	45
Colchester	42	33	0
Colebrook	5	6	8
Columbia	0	0	0
Cornwall	13	18	18
Coventry	30	34	18
Cromwell	9	10	9
Danbury	740	762	788
Darien	45	52	59

Resident Town	2011	2012	2013
Deep River	0	0	0
Derby	192	236	292
Durham	0	0	0
East Granby	22	21	25
East Haddam	125	163	145
East Hampton	24	32	27
East Hartford	985	1,039	792
East Haven	177	259	225
East Lyme	8	9	0
East Windsor	41	65	80
Eastford	12	15	3
Easton	0	0	16
Ellington	0	0	0
Enfield	235	190	173
Essex	0	0	0
Fairfield	117	98	128
Farmington	24	20	0
Franklin	0	0	0
Glastonbury	86	45	60
Goshen	0	0	0
Granby	0	0	11
Greenwich	74	25	58
Griswold	61	117	108
Groton	412	353	393
Guilford	0	35	37
Haddam	45	56	51
Hamden	361	266	291
Hampton	9	9	8
Hartford	4,690	4,585	4,281
Hartland	0	0	0
Harwinton	8	7	8
Hebron	38	0	0
Kent	30	53	47
Killingly	108	104	139
Killingworth	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0

Resident Town	2011	2012	2013
Ledyard	33	54	62
Lisbon	0	0	0
Litchfield	4	13	14
Lyme	17	17	10
Madison	0	0	7
Manchester	441	464	663
Mansfield	94	116	54
Marlborough	0	0	0
Meriden	980	950	899
Middlebury	0	0	0
Middlefield	0	0	0
Middletown	533	452	N/A
Milford	66	141	208
Monroe	34	67	118
Montville	0	92	108
Morris	0	0	0
Naugatuck	280	268	250
New Britain	2,028	1,949	1,780
New Canaan	0	0	0
New Fairfield	21	20	22
New Hartford	15	16	15
New Haven	2,766	2,991	3,018
New London	355	269	409
New Milford	142	175	146
Newington	166	143	57
Newtown	46	37	N/A
Norfolk	0	0	0
North Branford	17	51	59
North Canaan	83	54	61
North Haven	64	58	54
North Stonington	28	18	22
Norwalk	502	547	493
Norwich	772	662	843
Old Lyme	0	0	23
Old Saybrook	42	69	77
Orange	13	9	8

Resident Town	2011	2012	2013
Oxford	29	26	0
Plainfield	286	199	277
Plainville	159	144	95
Pomfret	29	85	0
Portland	38	33	2
Preston	74	7	58
Prospect	13	71	18
Putnam	126	14	101
Redding	56	106	38
Ridgefield	0	49	9
Rocky Hill	88	0	83
Roxbury	0	65	0
Salem	0	0	0
Salisbury	0	0	0
Scotland	0	0	3
Seymour	0	0	132
Sharon	0	103	0
Shelton	54	0	51
Sherman	0	44	0
Simsbury	0	0	0
Somers	0	0	0
South Windsor	48	0	75
Southbury	0	58	5
Southington	95	0	149
Sprague	0	170	0
Stafford	54	0	118
Stamford	1,245	97	1,530
Sterling	23	1,371	34
Stonington	118	36	52
Stratford	148	62	108
Suffield	0	160	0
Plymouth	99	0	64
Thomaston	8	8	9
Thompson	0	69	73
Tolland	55	59	18
Torrington	301	271	224

Resident Town	2011	2012	2013
Trumbull	50	11	16
Union	0	0	0
Vernon	367	476	492
Voluntown	0	0	0
Wallingford	185	233	249
Warren	6	4	6
Washington	0	0	0
Waterbury	2,623	3,072	3,147
Waterford	0	81	71
Watertown	62	59	N/A
West Hartford	170	217	278
West Haven	652	600	557
Westbrook	44	0	0
Weston	0	0	0
Westport	24	23	26
Wethersfield	63	63	75
Willington	23	11	15
Wilton	0	0	0
Winchester	70	62	72
Windham	586	639	574
Windsor	68	139	122
Windsor Locks	149	98	133
Wolcott	36	39	41
Woodbridge	0	0	11
Woodbury	38	17	12
Woodstock	0	0	0
Total	30,864	32,228	32,094

Endnotes for Appendix A

1. Amounts for SFY 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, and 13 provided by Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on September 8, 2009; July 30, 2010; September 20, 2011; October 2, 2012; and October 23, 2013. Amount for SFY 14 provided by Michael Gilbert, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on September 26, 2014. "CCDBG" is the federal Child Care Development Block Grant. Break-down between state and federal funds provided by Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on September 25, 2008; September 8, 2009; April 1, 2011; September 20, 2011, October 2, 2012, and October 23, 2013 and by Michael Gilbert, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on September 26, 2014. CORRECTION to SFY 09 provided by Peter Palermino on March 22, 2011. Note that this breakdown does not reflect federal reimbursements through the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant. Therefore, federal funds are underestimated here. Also, note that adding state and federal funding does not equal the amount listed in the chart. According to Mr. Palermino, there are two possible explanations for this: (1) DSS reduces the final Care4Kids' expenditures based on collections received for overpayments, but this is not accounted for in the expenditure number in the state budget book; and (2) the state and federal funds breakdown may include expenditures for "administrative" accounts (as well as "program" accounts) that are not included in the state budget book. See e-mail from Mr. Palermino, October 5, 2008.

2. Amounts for SFY 08, 09, 10, and 11 provided by Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Service (DSS), via email, on September 26, 2008; September 8, 2009; July 30, 2010; and September 20, 2011; amount for SFY 12 and SF 13 provided by Michelle Levy, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on August 1, 2012 and October 25, 2013; amount for SFY 14 provided by Gary Pescosolido, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on July 23, 2014. Breakdown between state and federal funds based on numbers from state budget book; breakdown for SFY 11 provided by Mr. Palermino via e-mail on September 20, 2011; breakdown for SFY 12 and SFY 13 provided by Ms. Levy via e-mail on August 1, 2012 and October 25, 2013. Note that while the state budget book does not show how much of the Care4Kids appropriation is from the federal Child Care Development Block Grant, it does separate the state-funded center appropriation into "state funds" and federal funds from the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG). The state funds appear as two separate line items in the DSS agency budget, both titled "child day care centers," while the SSBG funds for these centers appear in a separate table in the budget book which delineates how the SSBG funds are to be disbursed (the amount to state-funded centers is listed as "child day care"). Note further that this breakdown (like the breakdown for Care4Kids, described in endnote XX) does not reflect federal reimbursements through the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant, which are significant. For example, in SFY 07, actual state expenditures for state-funded centers were only \$700,000, while

actual federal expenditures (SSBG and TANF dollars combined) were \$25.1 million. See e-mail from Peter Palermino, DSS on September 25, 2008. Finally, we are aware that (as with the Care4Kids numbers), adding state and federal funding here does not equal the amount listed in the chart. This may be because the "state funds" number represents actual expenditures whereas the SSBG number represents amount appropriated (no actual expenditure under the SSBG grant appears in the budget book).

3. Numbers for SFY 02 and 03 provided by Annette McCall, Bureau of Grants Management, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on October 3, 2008. Numbers for SFY 04 through SFY 14 obtained via the SDE Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select School Readiness and Child Day Care Grant Program (Code 11000-17043-82056)).

4. Numbers for SFY 02 and 03 provided by Annette McCall, Bureau of Grants Management, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on October 3, 2008. Numbers for SFY 04 through SFY 14 obtained via the SDE Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select School Readiness (Code 11000-12113-82079)). Note that the actual expenditure for Competitive School Districts is also reproduced in the state budget book, as line item "Early Childhood Program" in the SDE agency budget. Those numbers and the ones reproduced here, from the SDE Bureau of Grants Management, are not identical; the amount in the state budget generally appears to be about \$200K more than the numbers from the Bureau of Grants Management. This may be because the former number includes the amount used by SDE for administrative expenses related to this program, whereas the latter does not. See e-mail from Ms. McCall, October 2, 2008. We chose to include the figures from the Bureau of Grants Management rather than the figures from the budget book for two reasons: (1) expenditures for Priority School Districts are available only from the Bureau of Grants Management and not from the budget book (because School Readiness for Priority School Districts is a sub-line item, rather than a line item of its own) and we wished to maintain an internal consistency; and (2) the amount spent on programming (rather than the amount spent on programming and administration) is much more relevant for our purposes here: determining how much Connecticut is actually spending to promote early care and education for its children.

5. Amounts for SFY 02 and SFY 03 obtained via state budget books. Amounts for SFY 04 through SFY 14 obtained via the

Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE) Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select Head Start Services (Code 11000-16101-82079)). Note that this number does not include federal funds for Head Start and Early Head Start, which go directly to programs and are not channeled through state agencies.

6. Amounts for SFY 02 through SFY 14 obtained via the Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE) Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations/Payments") select "Historic Grant Payments;" under Section A select years and under Section C select Even Start Family Literacy Program (Code 12060-20682-82079)). Amount for SFY 12 obtained from SDE, Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select Even Start Family Literacy Program (Code 11000-16217-82079)). Note that through FY 11 these funds were entirely federal, but, unlike the federal funds for Head Start and Early Head Start, were channeled through a state agency (specifically, SDE). Note that alternate numbers are available from the U.S. Department of Education, at <http://www.ed.gov/programs/evennstarformula.awards.html>. The differences between the figures are likely due (1) to the differences in the state and federal fiscal years, and (2) the fact that administrative costs are not included in the Bureau of Grants Management reports but are included in the federal figures. In SFY 12, the funds for Even Start were entirely state funds, as this federal funding stream was eliminated from the federal budget. See e-mails from Judy Carson, SDE (February 1, 2012) and Bonne Pathman, Bureau of Grants Management, SDE (July 20, 2012).

7. Amounts for SFY 02 through 10 obtained from the Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE) Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations/Payments") select "Historic Grant Payments;" under Section A select years and under Section C select Family Resource Center Program (Code 11000-16110-82079)). Amount for SFY 12 and SFY 14 obtained from SDE, Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous

Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select Family Resource Center Program (Code 11000-16110-82079)).

8. SFY 02-08 amounts provided by Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on October 5, 2008, combined with amount provided by Jessica Andrews Walsh, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 20, 2010. SFY 09, SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, and SFY 13 amounts provided by Mr. Palermino, via e-mail, on September 8, 2009; July 30, 2010; September 20, 2011; September 11, 2012 and October 23, 2013. FY14 CT Charts-A-Course estimate is repeated from the FY 13 estimate under the assumption that the amount spent did not significantly change. According to Margaret Gustafan, SDE, The Office of Early Childhood no longer breaks out CT Charts-A-Course spending as of FY14. Note that for all years the allocations for Connecticut Charts-A-Course were a portion of the line item line item titled "School Readiness" in the DSS agency budget. In SFY 08 an additional amount came from the line item "Preschool Quality Rating System" from the SDE agency budget, which expenditure was controlled by the Early Childhood Education Cabinet (see e-mail from Ms. Andrews Walsh, SDE, August 20, 2010). The amount from the Preschool Quality Rating System line item (\$159,000) went specifically to support one component of Connecticut Charts-A-Course: the Accreditation Facilitation Project. Even more specifically: \$45,000 was used for consultants to support programs in achieving accreditation; \$100,000 for financial assistance to program administrators for coursework that would help them meet the NAEYC accreditation standards, and \$14,500 for administrative costs. See e-mail from Ms. Andrews Walsh, SDE, August 20, 2010. Charts-A-Course funds cover scholarships for child care professionals, the Accreditation Facilitation Project, and program improvements like the CT Director's Credential, Training Approval Board, the Quality Improvement System, career counseling, and training in child development. See e-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, September 20, 2011. Note that the amounts listed here for SFY 08 through SFY 11 have been adjusted to exclude those funds spent on the Workforce Registry, which is administered by Connecticut Charts A Course, but which we count not as quality improvement but as infrastructure building, delineated in Table 3. Adjustments made on the basis of numbers provided by Darlene Ragozzine, Connecticut Charts A Course, and Peter Palermino, via e-mails from Mr. Palermino on October 7, 2011 and October 11, 2011.

9. Amounts for SFY 08 through SFY 14 obtained from the Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE) Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select Head Start Link (Code 11000-16202-82079)). Note that the name of this allocation is somewhat

misleading: a portion of this appropriation is earmarked for ABCD's Total Learning Project, a Bridgeport-based program that seeks to provide comprehensive services to children in grades K-3 those have received some form of state-subsidized early care and education, while the remaining amount has gone to varying Head Start program enhancements. See SDE Bureau of Grants Management reports, as well as e-mail from Grace-Ann Whitney, Director, Connecticut Head Start State Collaboration Office, October 4, 2008.

11. Amount for SFY 08 provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008. The figure is listed in two places: under the main heading "Preschool Quality Rating System" with sub-heading "Administration" and payee listed as the Board for State Academic Awards (which governs Charter Oak State College, which administers the Early Childhood Education Rating System (ECERS)) and under the main heading Early Childhood Advisory Council with sub-heading "Administration" and payee listed as the Board for State Academic Awards. The same figure appears in the Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update, dated June 23, 2008 (available at http://www.ctearlychildhood.org/FileManagerRecursive/customer-Files/Final_Briefing_Report.pdf on p.18) under the heading "ECERS Assessment, Training, & Quality Improvement Awards," and as a portion of the amount listed under the heading "Quality Efforts Related to NAEYC and ECERS." (See e-mail from Jessica Andrews Walsh, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), August 20, 2010. Amount for SFY 09 provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on September 2, 2009, where it is listed under the main heading "Preschool Quality Rating System" with sub-heading "Administration" and payee listed as the Board for State Academic Awards. The same figure appears in the SFY 09 FINAL Cabinet Budget Analysis, dated September 17, 2009, and provided via e-mail by Jessica Andrews, Office of the Early Childhood Education Cabinet, Connecticut State Department of Education, on September 24, 2009. We accordingly believe this amount represents that portion of the SDE budget line item titled "Preschool Quality Rating System" (and, in SFY 08, a portion of the line item "Early Childhood Advisory Council") that went to the administration of ECERS in various preschool programs (to determine their current level of quality) and required follow-up (to improve quality, as necessary). In SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, and SFY 14 approximately \$15,000, \$14,000, \$7,000, and \$4,400 respectively were spent on a modified ECERS project and were taken from the administrative portion of the Early Childhood Program line item in the SDE agency budget (that is, School Readiness money for Competitive School Districts). See e-mails from Gerri Rowell, SDE, August 19, 2010; November 8, 2011; and July 23, 2012. Amount for SFY 13 and SFY 14 provided by Gerri Rowell, SDE, via e-mail, on June 28, 2013 and October 31, 2014.

12. Numbers for SFY 02 and 03 provided by Annette McCall, Bureau of Grants Management, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on October 3, 2008. Numbers for SFY 04 through SFY 14 obtained via the SDE Bureau of Grants

Management (go to web site <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>; click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select Quality Enhancement Grant (Code 12060-90242-82079; for SFY 12 Code 11000-17097-82079)).

13. FY 06 and FY 07 number from CT 2007-2009 State Budget, http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa/Documents/year/BB/2008BB-20071200_FY%202008%20-%20FY%202009%20Connecticut%20Budget.pdf (p.347). SFY 08 number available from Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update at p.18 (June 23, 2008) (no longer available on-line), under the heading "Management: Cabinet and Council Staffing and Support." SFY 09 number available from Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation, dated September 17, 2009 (provided via e-mail by Jessica Andrews, Office of the Early Childhood Education Cabinet, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), on September 24, 2009) under the heading "Management: Cabinet and Council Staffing & Support." These funds came from the line item "Early Childhood Advisory Council" in the SDE agency budget. See information provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008, and September 2, 2009. SFY 11 and SFY 12 numbers provided by Andrea Brinnell, SDE, via e-mail, September 19, 2011, and July 26, 2012. According to Ms. Brinnell, in SFY 11 approximately \$17,000 of this amount went to the project director's salary and benefits (note that the director was hired in April), with the remainder going to administrative support and professional development; while in SFY 12 \$98,158 went to the project director's salary and benefits, with the remainder going to the website, indirect costs, and clerical support. Note that in SFY 11 and SFY 12 Ms. Brinnell's responsibilities at SDE included providing staffing for the Cabinet, but the amount stated here does not include any portion of her salary (and thus is somewhat understated). See e-mail from Ms. Brinnell, September 19, 2011. Data for FY 13 was requested but was not made available by the time of publication. The Early Childhood Education Cabinet Administration was taken over by the Office of Early Childhood (OEC) in 2014. Some OEC staff members' time goes to early childhood education cabinet administration; however, the amount spent in 2014 has not been quantified.

14. These funds represent monies paid to towns as a match for funds provided by the William Caspar Graustein Memorial Fund for communities to create their own early childhood and education system blueprints. Amounts for SFY 08 and 09 provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008 and September 2, 2009. The figure is listed under SID 12454: Preschool Quality Rating System and program description "Basic School Program General." SFY 08 figure (rounded to \$425,000) also available from the Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update at p.18 (June 23, 2008) (no longer available online), under the heading "26

community planning grants;" SFY 09 figure (exact) available from SFY 09 FINAL Cabinet Budget Analysis, dated September 17, 2009 (provided via e-mail by Jessica Andrews, Office of the Early Childhood Education Cabinet, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), on September 24, 2009), where it is listed as "Community Co-Investment Partnership Match." Although part of the "Preschool Quality Rating System" (in the SDE budget), these expenditures were controlled by the Early Childhood Education Cabinet in SFY 08 and SFY 09. In SFY 10, \$450,000 for this purpose was appropriated by the state legislature, listed as its own line item ("Community Plans for Early Childhood") in the SDE agency budget, but, at the Governor's direction, none of these funds were expended. See

[http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa/Documents/year/BB/2012BB-](http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa/Documents/year/BB/2012BB-20110714_FY%2012%20and%20FY%2013-Connecticut%20Budget-Part%20I.pdf)

[20110714_FY%2012%20and%20FY%2013-Connecticut%20Budget-Part%20I.pdf](http://www.cga.ct.gov/ofa/Documents/year/BB/2012BB-20110714_FY%2012%20and%20FY%2013-Connecticut%20Budget-Part%20I.pdf) at 344. SFY 11 through SFY 2014 figures obtained via the SDE Bureau of Grants Management (go to web site

<http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2680&Q=320640>;

click on Grant Calculations, Reports, & Analyses; under section E ("Grant Calculations and Payments") select "Current and Previous Year Grant Payments;" under Section A select year, under Section B ("Report Type") select "Summary," and under Section D ("Grant Type") select Community Plans for Early Childhood (Code 11000-12495-83004).

15. SFY 08 and SFY 09 numbers from the Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update at p.18 (June 23, 2008) (no longer available on-line), under the heading "QRIS Plan Development," and the Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation (September 23, 2009), provided by Jessica Andrews, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on September 24, 2009, under the heading "QRIS Plan Completion/Cost Modeling." These funds were paid to the Connecticut Economic Resource Center (CERC) to manage a QRIS plan development but the contract with CERC was ultimately terminated and the plan left incomplete. See Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation. These funds were paid out of the line item "Early Childhood Advisory Council" in the SDE agency budget. See information provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008, and September 2, 2009. Data for FY 13 was requested but was not made available by the time of publication. SFY 14 numbers were unavailable.

16. Amounts for SFY 08 and 09 provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008 and September 2, 2009. The figures are listed under SID 12454 Preschool Quality Rating System and program description "Administration," with vendor name listed as "CHEFA." SFY 08 and SFY 09 numbers also available from the Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update at p.18 (June 23, 2008) (no longer available on-line), under the heading "PreK Facilities and Plan," and the Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation (September 23, 2009), provided by Jessica Andrews, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on September 24, 2009, under the

heading "PreK Facilities TA and Plan." Although part of the "Preschool Quality Rating System" (in the SDE budget), these expenditures were controlled by the Early Childhood Education Cabinet in SFY 08 and SFY 09. These funds were paid to the Connecticut Health and Education Facilities Authority (CHEFA). In SFY 2010-2014 there were no expenditures.

17. Numbers provided by Darlene Ragozzine, Connecticut Charts A Course, and Peter Palermينو, Department of Social Services, via e-mail from Mr. Palermينو on October 7, 2011. Funding comes from the DSS budget line item formerly titled School Readiness (now called "Child Care Quality Enhancements"), as well as from the General Fund for the Board of Trustees for Community Colleges. In FY 08 and 09, additional funds were provided by the Early Childhood and Education Cabinet, and came from the line item "Preschool Quality Rating System" in the State Department of Education agency budget. See information provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008, and September 2, 2009. Data for FY 12 was requested repeatedly but never made available to us. Thus, we assumed funding was held constant from FY 11. FY 13 and 14 numbers are unavailable, according to Margaret Gustafan, SDE.

18. SFY 08 and SFY 09 numbers obtained from the Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update at p.18 (June 23, 2008) (no longer available on-line), under the heading "Data Interoperability" and the Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation (September 23, 2009), provided by Jessica Andrews, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on September 24, 2009, under the heading "Data Development and Interoperability." SFY 12 numbers provided by Andrea Brinnell, SDE, via e-mail, on July 26, 2012. These funds were spent on the following: In SFY 08, \$156,316 to the Public Consulting Group for a study of data interoperability between agencies; in SFY 09, (1) \$17,700 to Connecticut Voices for Children for HUSKY data analysis; (2) \$18,141 to the Public Consulting Group for program identifier analysis; and (3) \$25,000 to the Connecticut Economic Resource Center (CERC) for the Open Indicators Project; in SFY 12, \$14,341 for the Data Round Table hosted by the Cabinet. See Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update and Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation. Note that in SFY 08 these funds were paid from the line item "Preschool Quality Rating Initiative" in the SDE agency budget, over which the Early Childhood Education Cabinet exerted control, while in SFY 09 each of the three mentioned expenditures came from the line item "Early Childhood Advisory Council" in the SDE agency budget. See information provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008, and September 2, 2009. SFY 13 and SFY 14 amount provided by Maggie Adair, Office of Early Childhood, on October 29, 2014.

19. Amounts for SFY 08 and 09 provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008 and September 2, 2009. The figures are listed under SID 12454

Preschool Quality Rating System and program description "Administration," with vendor name listed as "The Charter Oak Group LLC." SFY 08 and SFY 09 numbers also available from the Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update at p.18 (June 23, 2008) (no longer available on-line) under the heading "RBA/Accountability Plan TA" and the Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation (September 23, 2009) and provided by Jessica Andrews, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on September 24, 2009, under the heading "RBA/Accountability Plan TA." These funds were paid to the Charter Oak Group for development of a results-based accountability plan for early childhood programs and technical assistance in implementing this plan.

20. 211 Child Care is a free child care referral telephone service. Though we did not include it in our previous reports, several of our readers alerted us to its omission and argued convincingly that the data collection and coordination done by 211 Child Care, along with the connections it makes between parents and providers, does enhance a general infrastructure that would support a unified ECE system. Numbers for SFY 02 through SFY 11 are approximate and were provided by Peter Palermino, Department of Social Services, via e-mail, on September 20, 2011; SFY 12 and SFY 13 numbers provided by Mr. Palermino, via e-mail, on September 11, 2012 and October 23, 2013. SFY 14 number provided by Diana Lejardi, Office of Early Childhood, via e-mail on November 12, 2014.

21. For FY 2012, the amount was provided by Dr. Myra Jones Taylor, lead on the planning team. For FY 2013, this figure represents salaries of the three members of the planning team and was provided by Dr. Jones-Taylor on July 8, 2013. As of SFY14 the ECE Planning Team is not applicable because of the creation of the Office of Early Childhood."

22. This category includes miscellaneous amounts of money spent by the Early Childhood Cabinet in SFY 08, SFY 09, and SFY 12 that do not fit in the other categories listed in Table 3. All amounts paid in SFY 08 and 09 can be found in the information provided by Elaine Pelletier, Office of the State Comptroller, via e-mail, on October 9, 2008, and September 2, 2009, or in the Early Childhood Education Cabinet Budget SFY 08 Update at p.18 (June 23, 2008) (no longer available on-line) and the Early Childhood Education Cabinet SFY 09 Funds Final Reconciliation (September 23, 2009) provided by Jessica Andrews, Office of the Early Childhood Cabinet, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on September 24, 2009. Amounts paid in SFY 12 provided by Andrea Brinnel, SDE, via e-mail, on July 26, 2012. As of SFY14 the "Other" category is Not Applicable because of the creation of the Office of Early Childhood. The \$305,000 spent in SFY 08 includes: (1) \$25,000 for a "B-3 Plan" as part of the Birth to 3 Summit, which is listed in the Comptroller Report, under SID 12331, main heading "Early Childhood Advisory Council," subheading "Administration," payee "Work Force Competitiveness;" (2) \$50,000 for "Child Poverty Council Cost Modeling" as part of a Child Poverty Cost Study, which is listed in the Comptroller Report, under SID 12331, main heading

"Early Childhood .Advisory Council," subheading "Administration," payee "Office of Policy & Management;" (3) \$100,000 for "Family/Community Investment" from "Leadership in Action Bridgeport Funds," which is located in the Comptroller Report under SID 12331, main heading "Early Childhood .Advisory Council," subheading "Basic School Program General," payee "United Way of Eastern Fairfield County;" (4) \$20,000 for "Accountability" as part of the "Research Network and Studies, which is located in the Comptroller Report under SID 12454, main heading "Preschool Quality Rating System," subheading "Administration," payee "Department of Higher Education;" and (5) \$110,000 for "Strategic Communications" for "Strategic Communications (CERC)" which is located in the Comptroller Report under SID 12454, main heading "Preschool Quality Rating System," subheading "Administration," payee "Connecticut Economic Resource Center (CERC).Note that the money paid to CERC in item four is only part of the amount paid to CERC. The \$137,500 spent in SFY 09 includes:(1) \$25,000 for a "Health Continuum Cost Study," which is located in the Comptroller Report under SID 12331.main heading "Early Childhood Advisory Council." subheading "Administration payee "Connecticut Economic Resource Center (CERC)" (note this is only part of amount paid to CERC); (2) \$12,500 for "Accountability" as part of "Research Network and Studies," which are located in the Comptroller Report under SID 12331. main heading "Early Childhood Advisory Council," subheading "Administration," payee "Connecticut Economic Resource Center (CERC)" (note. this is only part of the amount paid to CERC); and (3) \$100,000 for "Communications" as part of "Strategic Communications per Plan." located in the Comptroller Report under SID 12331, main heading "Early Childhood Advisory Council," subheading "Administration," payee "Connecticut Economic Resource Center (CERC)" (once again, note that this is only part of amount paid to CERC). The \$78,094 spent in SFY 12 includes: (1) \$58,318 on an analysis of the gaps in the Early Learning Standards and the Standards Development Institute; (2) \$18,711 on an analysis of workforce competencies and attendance at the NAEYC Professional Development Institute; and (3) \$1,065 on attendance at the 0-3 Home Visitation Policy Institute.

23. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>. Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Numbers for SFY 2011 and SFY 2014 calculated from Number of Children Paid by Age Category and Service Setting Monthly Reports posted on http://www.ctcare4kids.com/ct_reports.html. Note this is a monthly average, NOT an unduplicated count of total children served over the course of the state fiscal year.

24. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>. Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Number for SFY 2011 received from Peter Palermino, DSS, via e-mail, on October 16, 2011. Number for SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY2014 received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, on August 1, 2012, October 30, 2013, and September 17, 2014 respectively. Note this is a monthly average, NOT an unduplicated count of total children served over the course of the state fiscal year, and represents children served full-time as well as children served in wrap-around care.

25. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>.

Number for SFY 2010 obtained from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Numbers for SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 provided by Grace-Ann Whitney, Director, State Head Start Collaboration Office, DSS, via e-mail, on October 26, 2011, July 2, 2012, October 17, 2013, and October 31, 2014 respectively. Note that this number represents the annual number of funded slots. See e-mails from Ms. Whitney, March 23, 2010, and August 10, 2010.

26. Numbers provided by Kristine Mika (evaluator retained by State Department of Education), via e-mail, on August 18, 2010; August 10, 2011; July 30, 2012; and October 17, 2013. October 2014 number provided by Kate Parr, University of Connecticut, via e-mail on October 17, 2014. Numbers represent monthly average.

27. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>.

Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Numbers for SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 calculated from Number of Children Paid by Age Category and Service Setting Monthly Reports posted on

http://www.ctcare4kids.com/ct_reports.html. Note this is a monthly average, NOT an unduplicated count of total children served over the course of the state fiscal year. .

28. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>.

Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Number for SFY 2011 received from Peter Palermino, DSS, via e-mail, on October 16, 2011. Number for SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of

Education, on August 1, 2012, July 16, 2013, and September 17, 2014 respectively. Note this is a monthly average, NOT an unduplicated count of total children served over the course of the state fiscal year, and represents children served full-time as well as children served in wrap-around care.

29. Numbers for SFY 2004 through SFY 2009 provided by Amparo Garcia, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on July 28, 2008, on October 27, 2008, and May 21, 2010. Number for FY 2010 provided by Deborah Adams, State Department of Education (SDE), via email on July 26, 2010. Numbers for SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY2014 provided by Alissa Marotta, SDE, via e-mail, on August 15, 2011, July 25, 2012, October 30, 2013, and September 17, 2014 respectively. Numbers represent utilization in June of the fiscal year. See e-mail from Amparo Garcia, DSS, February 23, 2010.

30. Numbers for SFY 2004 through SFY 2009 provided by Amparo Garcia, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on July 28, 2008, October 27, 2008, and May 21, 2010. Number for SFY 2010 provided by Gerri Rowell, State Department of Education (SDE), via email on July 26, 2010. Numbers for SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 provided by Alissa Marotta, SDE, via e-mail, on August 15, 2011, July 25, 2012, October 30, 2013, and September 27, 2014. Numbers represent utilization in June of the fiscal year. See e-mail from Amparo Garcia, DSS, February 23, 2010. Special note for SFY 09: An accurate number for 2009 is not available as, due to a changeover in the reporting system in SFY 09, SDE is lacking utilization numbers from the following nine Competitive School Readiness towns 1. Andover 2. Ashford 3. Eastford 4. Greenwich 5. Lebanon 6. Ledyard 7. Milford 8. Sprague 9. Woodstock. Accordingly, the SFY 09 number is lower than it should be. See e-mail from Gerri Rowell, SDE, May 26, 2010.

31. Numbers for SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>.

Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Numbers for SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 provided by Grace-Ann Whitney, Director, State Head Start Collaboration Office, DSS, via e-mail, on October 26, 2011, July 2, 2012, October 17, 2013, and October 31, 2014. Note that these numbers include children who are served solely by federal Head Start funds, as well as those children who are served in extended day slots by a combination of state and federal Head Start funds. See e-mail from Amparo Garcia, DSS, October 7, 2008. Note that this number represents the annual number of funded slots, rather than the monthly average of children served (which is not available). See e-mails from Grace-Ann Whitney, DSS, March 23, 2010; July 26, 2010; and August 10, 2010.

32. Numbers for SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually

by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>.

Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Numbers for SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 provided by Grace-Ann Whitney, Director, State Head Start Collaboration Office, DSS, via e-mail, on October 26, 2011, July 2, 2012, October 17, 2013, and October 31, 2014. Note that this number represents the annual number of funded slots, rather than the monthly average of children served (which is not available). See e-mails from Grace-Ann Whitney, DSS, March 23, 2011; July 26, 2010; and August 10, 2010.

33. Numbers provided by Kristine Mika (evaluator retained by State Department of Education), via e-mail, on August 18, 2010; August 10, 2011; July 30, 2012; and July 16, 2013. October 2014 number provided by Kate Parr, University of Connecticut, via e-mail on October 17, 2014. Numbers represent monthly average.

34. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>. Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Numbers for SFY 2011 and SFY 2014 calculated from Number of Children Paid by Age Category and Service Setting Monthly Reports posted on http://www.ctcare4kids.com/ct_reports.html. Number for FY 12 and FY 13 received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, on August 1, 2012 and October 30, 2013. Note this is a monthly average, NOT an unduplicated count of total children served over the course of the state fiscal year.

35. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>. Number for SFY 2010 from "Status of Child Care in Connecticut," available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf>. Number for SFY 2011 received from Peter Palermino, DSS, via e-mail, on October 16, 2011. Number for SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, on August 1, 2012, October 20, 2013, and September 17, 2014. Note this is a monthly average, NOT an unduplicated count of total children served over the course of the state fiscal year, and represents children served full-time as well as children served in wrap-around care.

36. Numbers provided by Kristine Mika (evaluator retained by State Department of Education), via e-mail, on August 18, 2010; August 10, 2011; July 30, 2012; and July 16, 2013. Numbers represent monthly average. October 2014 number provided by Kate Parr, University of Connecticut, via e-mail on October 17, 2014.

37. Numbers are sums of all licensed and exempt programs listed below.

38. Connecticut General Statutes Section 19a-77(b) (full text of which is available at http://nrckids.org/STATES/CT/ct_family.pdf) exempts certain programs from licensure. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. (See also email from Tracy Zolnik, April 27, 2010.) Numbers for SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 are as of January 2010, January 2011, January 2012, January 2013, and January 2014 respectively.

39. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772> under "Publication Archives." Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. (See also email from Tracy Zolnik, April 27, 2010.) Numbers for SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 are as of January 2010, January 2011, January 2012, January 2013, and January 2014 respectively.

40. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2009 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at

<http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772> under "Publication Archives." Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. (See also email from Tracy Zolnik, April 27, 2010.) Numbers for SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 are as of January 2010, January 2011, January 2012, January 2013, and January 2014 respectively.

41. SFY 03 number obtained from "Keeping Children on the Path to School Success: How is Connecticut Doing? A Report on the State of the Young Child." Frances Duran and Susan Wilson, Early Childhood DataCONNECTIONS (September 2004) at 55. SFY 08, SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 numbers are

sum of all accredited programs listed below, though note that SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 numbers includes programs accredited by NAA and NEASC and SFY 08 number does not. Note also that accredited programs may be licensed or exempt.

42. NAEYC stands for the National Association for the Education of Young Children. Numbers from SFY 2002 through SFY 2006 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services and available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772>, under "Publication Archives." Number for SFY 2008 obtained via NAEYC-Accredited Program Search, National Association for the Education of Young Children, available at <http://naeyc.org/academy/search>. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013, and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that numbers include centers with accreditation by NAEYC and AMS (of which there were two in SFY 2008, one in SFY 2010, one in SFY 2011, one in SFY 2012, zero in SFY 2013, and one in SFY 2014), NAEYC and NAA (of which there was one in SFY 2010, none in SFY 2011, zero in SFY 2012, zero in SFY 2013, and zero in SFY 2014), NAEYC and NEASC (of which there were two in SFY 2010, two in SFY 2011, three in SFY 2012, seven in SFY 2013, and seven in SFY 2014); as well as centers that are NAEYC accredited and also meet federal Head Start standards (of which there were 43 in SFY 2008, 42 in SFY 2010, 42 in SFY 2011, 45 in SFY 2012, 42 in SFY 2013, and 43 in SFY 14), and centers that are NAEYC accredited and meet federal Early Head Start standards (of which there were three in SFY 2008, eight in SFY 2010, 11 in SFY 2011, seven in SFY 2012, nine in SFY 2013, and XX in SFY 2014).

43. AMI stands for Association Montessori Internationale. Number for SFY 2008 obtained via Connecticut search on <http://amiusa.org/ami-schools/montessori-school-locator>. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013, and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that these numbers include centers accredited by AMI that are also accredited by AMS (there was one such center in SFY 2010, one in SFY 2011, one in SFY 2012, one in SFY 2013, and zero in SFY 2014).

44. AMS stands for American Montessori Society. Number for SFY 2008 obtained via Connecticut search on <http://www.amshq.org/School%20Resources/Find%20a%20Scho>

[ol.aspx](http://www.amshq.org/School%20Resources/Find%20a%20Scho). Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013, and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that these numbers do not include centers accredited by AMS that are also accredited by NAEYC (there were two such centers in SFY 2008, one in SFY 2010, one in SFY 2011, one in SFY 2012, zero in SFY 2013, and one in SFY 2014), nor does it include centers accredited by AMS that are also accredited by AMI (there was one such center in SFY 2010, one in SFY 2011, one in SFY 2012, one in SFY 2013, and zero in SFY 2014).

45. Numbers for SFY 08 , SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 obtained via Head Start Locator, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department for Health and Human Services, available at <http://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/hslc/HeadStartOffices>. Note that this number does not include centers that meet Head Start standards and are also NAEYC-accredited (of which there were 43 as of October 2008; 42 as of April 2010; 42 as of April 2011; 45 as of April 2012; 42 as of May 2013; and 43 as of January 2014 – numbers for 2008 and 2010-2013 obtained by cross-referencing list provided by Head Start locator with list obtained via NAEYC-Accredited Program Search, available at <http://naeyc.org/academy/search>; number for 2014 provided by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014).

46. Numbers for SFY 08 , SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 obtained via Head Start Locator, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department for Health and Human Services, available at <http://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/hslc/HeadStartOffices>. Note that these numbers do not include centers that meet Early Head Start standards and are also NAEYC-accredited (of which there were 3 as of October 2008; 8 as of April 2010; 11 as of April 2011; 7 as of April 2012; 9 as of May 2013; and 13 as of June 2014 – numbers obtained by cross-referencing list provided by Head Start locator with list obtained via NAEYC-Accredited Program Search, National Association for the Education of Young Children, available at <http://naeyc.org/academy/search>).

47. NAFCC stands for National Association for Family Child Care. Numbers for SFY 2006 and 2007 obtained from the "Status of Child Care in Connecticut" reports, published annually by the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) and available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=2353&q=438772> under "Publication Archives." Number for SFY 2008 obtained via Accreditation Search, National Association for Family Child Care, available at <http://nafcc.fmdatabase.com/fmi/iwp/cgi?-db=accreditationsearch&-loadframes>. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United

Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013, and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

48. NAA stands for National Afterschool Association. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013, and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that this number does not include centers accredited by NAA that are also accredited by NAEYC (there was one such center in SFY 2010, zero in SFY 2011, zero in SFY 2012, zero in SFY 2013, and zero in SFY 2014).

49. NEASC stands for the New England Association of Schools and Colleges. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 from report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013, and by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that this number does not include centers accredited by NEASC that are also accredited by NAEYC (there were two such centers in SFY 2010, two in SFY 2011, three in SFY 2012, seven in SFY 2013, and eight in SFY 2014).

50. Because State Fiscal Year 14 [SFY 14] runs from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014, any data taken within that 12-month period is considered FY 14 data, even if actually taken in fall of 2013.

51. Number for SFY 2003 from "Keeping Children on the Path to School Success: How is Connecticut Doing? A Report on the State of the Young Child." Frances Duran and Susan Wilson, Early Childhood DataCONNECTIONS (September 2004) at 55. Numbers for SFY 2005 through SFY 2009 obtained from 211 Child Care, United Way, at <http://www.211childcare.org/professionals/Capacity.asp> and calculated by adding "enrollment" and "vacancies." (Note that the term "capacity" as used on the 211 Child Care web site refers to licensed capacity, rather than number of children the program is actually willing to serve; we use the sum of "enrollment" and "vacancies" as that represents the actual number of slots offered by programs.) Number for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 obtained via report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care, provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

52. Connecticut General Statutes Section 19a-77(b) (full text of

which is available at http://nrckids.org/STATES/CT/ct_family.pdf) exempts certain programs from licensure. Numbers for SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 obtained via report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care, provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

53. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 13, and SFY 14 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care, provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014..

54. Number for SFY 2003 from "Keeping Children on the Path to School Success: How is Connecticut Doing? A Report on the State of the Young Child." Frances Duran and Susan Wilson, Early Childhood DataCONNECTIONS (September 2004) at 55. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, SFY 2013, and SFY 2014 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail on February 24, 2014. and combined with our estimated number of children served by Early Head Start programs which have no additional accreditation (see endnote 58) as 211 Child Care does not have the ability to collect data on programs accredited by only Head Start. See emails from Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, October 31, 2008 and April 13, 2010.

55. NAEYC stands for the National Association for the Education of Young Children. Note that this number includes slots that are accredited by NAEYC alone, as well as slots that are accredited by NAEYC and AMS (the American Montessori Society) (of which there were 20 in SFY 2008, 19 in SFY 2010, 16 in SFY 2011, 16 in SFY 2012, 0 in SFY 2013, 0 in SFY 2014), NAEYC and NAA (National Afterschool Association) (of which there were 49 in SFY 2008, 16 in SFY 2010, 0 in SFY 2011, 0 in SFY 2012, 0 in SFY 2013, and 0 in SFY 2014), NAEYC and NEASC (the New England Association of Schools and Colleges) (for which information is not available for SFY 2008 but of which there were 128 in SFY 2010, 46 in SFY 2011, 64 in SFY 2012, 42 in SFY 2013, and 0 in SFY 2014), AMS, NEASC, and NAEYC (for which there were 59 in SFY 2014), and NAEYC and Headstart (for which there were 415 in SFY 2014). We also assume that, for SFY 2008, 132 Early Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number; for SFY 2010, 465 Early Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number;

for SFY 2011 328 Early Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number, for SFY 2012 282 Early Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number, and for SFY 2013 400 Early Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number (see endnote 58 for explanation and estimation).

56. NAFCC stands for the National Association of Family Child Care. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail on February 24, 2014.

57. AMI stands for the Association Montessori Internationale. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail on February 24, 2014.

58. AMS stands for American Montessori Society. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail on February 24, 2014.

59. NEASC stands for the New England Association of Schools and Colleges. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013. Note that the SFY 2010 number does not include the 128 slots which were also accredited by NAEYC; the SFY 2011 number does not include the 46 slots also accredited by NAEYC; the SFY 2012 number does not include the 64 slots also accredited by NAEYC; and the SFY 2013 number does not include the 42 slots also accredited by NAEYC; and again by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail on February 24, 2014.

60. The number of slots which meet Early Head Start standards

and have no other accreditation was not available from the report CT Voices for Children commissioned from 211 Child Care because 211 Child Care does not have the capacity to account for overlap and thus ensure no duplication of data. See emails from Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, October 31, 2008 and April 13, 2010. This number was similarly unavailable from the CT Head Start State Collaboration Office. See e-mail from Grace Ann Whitney, Director, CT Head Start State Collaboration Office, October 30, 2008. Accordingly, we estimated the numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 using the following calculations. In SFY 08, 439 infants and toddlers were served by Early Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 5) in 10 programs, 3 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 7 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 7/10 of the total number of infants/toddlers (439) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($7/10 * 439 = 307$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (132) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. In SFY 10, 930 infants and toddlers were served by Early Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 5) in 16 Early Head Start programs, 8 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 8 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 8/16 of the total number of infants/toddlers (930) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($8/16 * 930 = 465$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (465) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. In SFY 11, 716 infants and toddlers served by were served by Early Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 5) in 24 Early Head Start programs, 11 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 13 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 13/24 of the total number of infants/toddlers (716) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($13/24 * 716 = 388$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (328) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. In SFY 12, 726 infants and toddlers served by were served by Early Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 5) in 18 Early Head Start programs, 7 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 11 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 11/18 of the total number of infants/toddlers (726) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($11/18 * 726 = 444$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (282) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. In SFY 13, 756 infants and toddlers served by were served by Early Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 5) in 26 Early Head Start programs, 9 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 17 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 17/26 of the total number of infants/toddlers (756) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($17/26 * 756 = 494$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (262) were served in programs that met Early Head Start standards and

were NAEYC accredited. SFY14 estimate obtained, from Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

61. Number for SFY 2003 from "Keeping Children on the Path to School Success: How is Connecticut Doing? A Report on the State of the Young Child." Frances Duran and Susan Wilson, Early Childhood DataCONNECTIONS (September 2004) at 55. Numbers for SFY 2005 through SFY 2009 obtained from 211 Child Care, United Way, at <http://www.211childcare.org/professionals/Capacity.asp> and calculated by adding "enrollment" and "vacancies." (Note that the term "capacity" as used on the 211 Child Care web site refers to licensed capacity, rather than number of children the program is actually willing to serve; we use the sum of "enrollment" and "vacancies" as that represents the actual number of slots offered by programs.) Number for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care, provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Included here are "nursery school" slots, defined by 211 Child Care as part day enrichment programs offered by both private and public entities traditionally for children 3-5 years of age. See e-mail from Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, October 8, 2008.

62. Connecticut General Statutes Section 19a-77(b) (full text of which is available at http://nrckids.org/STATES/CT/ct_family.pdf) exempts certain programs from licensure. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care, provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

63. Numbers for SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via report commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care, provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on April 23, 2010, and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

64. Number for SFY 03 from "Keeping Children on the Path to School Success: How is Connecticut Doing? A Report on the State of the Young Child." Frances Duran and Susan Wilson, Early Childhood DataCONNECTIONS (September 2004) at 55. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 (including total, as well as breakdowns by all categories excluding Head Start) obtained via report

commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

65. NAEYC stands for the National Association for the Education of Young Children. Note that this number includes slots that are accredited by NAEYC alone, as well as slots that are accredited by NAEYC and AMS (of which there were 91 in SFY 2008; 62 in SFY 2010; 71 in SFY 2011, 74 in SFY 2012, 0 in SFY 2013, 0 in SFY 2014), slots that are accredited by NAEYC and NAA (of which there were 106 in SFY 2008, 95 in SFY 2010, 0 in 2011, 0 in SFY 2012, 0 in SFY 2013, 0 in SFY 2014), slots that are accredited by NAEYC and NEASC (for which data was not available in SFY 2008 but of which there were 143 in SFY 2010, 136 in SFY 2011, 160 in SFY 2012, 380 in SFY 2013, 342 in SFY 2014), slots that are accredited by NAEYC, AMS, and NEASC (of which there were 120 in SFY 2014), and NAEYC and Headstart (for which there were 2885 in SFY 2014). We also assume that, for SFY 2008, 2,265 Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number; for SFY 2010, 2,999 Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number; for SFY 2011, 2,702 Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number; for SFY 2012, 2,970 Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number; and for SFY 2013, 2,740 Head Start slots which are also accredited by NAEYC are included in this number (see endnote 69 for explanation and estimation).

66. NAFCC stands for the National Association of Family Child Care. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

67. AMI stands for the Association Montessori Internationale. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that the SFY 2013 number includes the 90 slots that were jointly accredited by AMI and AMS.

68. AMS stands for American Montessori Society. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and

executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that the SFY 2008 number does not include the 91 slots which were also accredited by NAEYC; the SFY 2010 number does not include the 62 slots which were also accredited by NAEYC, the SFY 2011 number does not include the 71 slots also accredited by NAEYC; and the SFY 2012 number does not include the 74 slots also accredited by NAEYC. (In SFY 2013, no preschool slots accredited by AMS were also accredited by NAEYC.) Note also that the SFY 2013 number does not include the 90 slots jointly accredited by AMI and AMS (in previous years no slots shared this joint accreditation).

69. NEASC stands for the New England Association of Schools and Colleges. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that the SFY 2010 number does not include the 143 slots which were also accredited by NAEYC; the SFY 2011 number does not include the 136 slots also accredited by NAEYC; the SFY 2012 number does not include the 160 slots also accredited by NAEYC; and the SFY 2013 number does not include the 380 slots also accredited by NAEYC.

70. NAA stands for the National Afterschool Association. Numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011, SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 obtained via reports commissioned by CT Voices for Children and executed by 211 Child Care; provided by Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on September 30, 2008; April 23, 2010; and March 22, 2011; by Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2012; and again by Ms. Zolnik, via e-mail, on March 29, 2013; and again by Ms. Grant, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014. Note that the SFY 2008 number does not include the 106 slots which were also accredited by NAEYC and the SFY 2010 number does not include the 95 slots also accredited by NAEYC.

71. The number of slots which meet Head Start standards and have no other accreditation was not available from the report CT Voices for Children commissioned from 211 Child Care because 211 Child Care does not have the capacity to account for overlap and thus ensure no duplication of data. See emails from Tracy Zolnik, 211 Child Care, October 31, 2008 and April 13, 2010. This number was similarly unavailable from the CT Head Start State Collaboration Office. See e-mail from Grace Ann Whitney, Director, CT Head Start State Collaboration Office, Connecticut Department of Social Services, October 30, 2008. Accordingly, we estimated the numbers for SFY 2008, SFY 2010, SFY 2011,

SFY 2012, and SFY 2013 using the following calculations. In SFY 2008, a total of 7,374 children were served by federal and state Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 6) in 140 programs, 43 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 97 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 97/140 of the total number of children (7,374) were served in programs that met Head Start standards but had no additional accreditation. ($97/140 * 7,374 = 5,109$.)

Conversely, we estimate that the remainder – 2,265 children – were served in programs that met Head Start standards and were NAEYC-accredited.) For SFY 10, a total of 7,497 children were served by federal and state Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 6) in 105 Head Start programs, 42 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 63 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 63/105 of the total number of preschoolers (7,497) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($63/105 * 7,497 = 4,498$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (2,999) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. For SFY 11, a total of 6,561 children were served by federal and state Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 6) in 102 Head Start programs, 42 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 60 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 60/102 of the total number of preschoolers (6,561) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($60/102 * 6,561 = 3,859$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (2,702) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. For SFY 2012, a total of 6,600 children were served by federal and state Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 6) in 100 Head Start programs, 45 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 55 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 55/100 of the total number of preschoolers (6,600) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($55/100 * 6,600 = 3,630$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (2,970) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. For SFY 2013, a total of 6,525 children were served by federal and state Head Start funds (see Appendix A, Table 6) in 100 Head Start programs, 42 of which were NAEYC-accredited and 58 of which were not (see Appendix A, Table 8). Assuming an equal distribution of children across programs, 58/100 of the total number of preschoolers (6,525) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and had no additional accreditation. ($58/100 * 6,525 = 3,785$) Conversely, we estimate that the remainder (2,740) were served in programs that met Head Start standards and were NAEYC accredited. SFY14 estimate obtained, from Valerie Grant, 211 Child Care, United Way, via e-mail, on February 24, 2014.

72. CT Early Childhood Professional Registry, provided via e-mail, on August 6, 2011; July 23, 2012; August 8, 2013; and July 8, 2014. Connecticut Charts-A-Course - 2321 Whitney Avenue, 5th Floor - Hamden, CT 06518 - 203-407-6656. Note that the number of program administrators with a BA or more may be understated,

as this includes only administrators with a BA in a field accepted by Connecticut for the purposes of meeting state quality standards (specifically: early childhood, child development, early childhood special education, child study, and human growth and development). In other words, it does not include administrators with BAs in other, non-accepted fields such as counseling, elementary education, psychology, and so on. Also note that the non-publicly funded report is less accurate than the publicly-funded report. Staff in publicly-funded programs are required to supply the OEC Registry with all education documents (diplomas, transcripts, etc.) and keep the account current. Staff in non-publicly funded programs may not have submitted all documents. See e-mail from Margaret Gustafson, CT Chats-A-Course, August 6, 2012 and on July 8, 2014. SFY 2013 and SFY 2014 data received from Margaret Gustafan, Office of Early Childhood, via e-mail, on October 21, 2013 and July 8, 2014. SFY 2010 data for staff with CDA plus 12 ECE credits or 30 credit credential unavailable.

73. Connecticut population data from U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates 2009, 2011, 2012, and 2013; available at http://www.census.gov/popest/data/state/asrh/2011/files/SC-EST2011-alldata6-AL_ID.csv, http://www.census.gov/popest/data/state/asrh/2012/files/SC-EST2011-alldata6-AL_ID.csv, and <http://www.census.gov/popest/data/state/asrh/2013/index.html>. Head Start percentages received from Karen Adesso, Chuck Martie, Ajit Gopalakrishnan, and Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 26, 2010; October 5, 2011; November 2, 2012; June 12, 2013; and May 19, 2014. Data provided are point-in-time data from October 1, 2008; October 1, 2009; October 1, 2010; October 1, 2011; October 1, 2012; October 1, 2013; and October 1, 2014.

74. Connecticut population data from U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates, 2009, 2011, 2012, and 2013; available at http://www.census.gov/popest/data/state/asrh/2011/files/SC-EST2011-alldata6-AL_ID.csv, http://www.census.gov/popest/data/state/asrh/2012/files/SC-EST2011-alldata6-AL_ID.csv, and <http://www.census.gov/popest/data/state/asrh/2013/index.html>. School Readiness percentages received from Karen Adesso, Chuck Martie, Ajit Gopalakrishnan, and Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 26, 2010; October 5, 2011; November 2, 2012; June 12, 2013; and May 19, 2014. Data provided are point-in-time data from October 1, 2008; October 1, 2009; October 1, 2010; October 1, 2011; October 1, 2012; and October 1, 2013.

75. Database with information for SFY 02 through SFY 09 provided by Alissa Marotta and Deb Adams, State Department of Education (SDE), in August 2010. Numbers for SFY 10, SFY 11, SFY 12, SFY 13, and SFY 14 provided by Ms. Adams and Ms. Marrotta, SDE, via email on July 26, 2010; August 15, 2011; July 25, 2012; October 30, 2013, and September 17, 2014. For each

year listed, data was collected for the reporting period closest to the month of June (e.g. reporting periods do not directly overlap with calendar months). June was chosen as the reporting month as much of the enrollment data provided to us from other sources was from June. Use of a single month's worth of data might not capture all the children in the different income groups who receive School Readiness but it avoids the error of double counting children each month (i.e. since only one month of data is used, a child would not be counted as under 50% SMI in May and counted again in June, which would make it appear that two children were under 50% SMI.) Although the number of municipalities reporting data in June is not exactly the same each year, it does not vary greatly. (Note: information for FY 02 had to be taken from the 9/2/2002-9/27/2002 reporting period since the June reporting period was unavailable and the July and August reports had extremely few municipalities reporting.) Note that in the years in which we have sufficient information to compare the number of utilized spots to the number of individuals reporting income (SFY 10, SFY 11, and SFY 12), the numbers, which should be identical, do not match. (In SFY 10 the number of utilized slots is 1,261 more than the total reporting income; in SFY 11 it is 149 more; and in SFY 12 it is 214 more). This suggests the accuracy of these figures overall is questionable.

76. Numbers for years 2001-2002 through 2005-2006 obtained from State Department of Education (SDE) report, "Pre-K Experience by District FY 01-FY 06," available at <http://www.csde.state.ct.us/public/cedar/districts/index.htm>. Number for 2006-2007 obtained from SDE Division of Assessment and Accountability, Bureau of Student Assessment report, "Kindergarten Data Bulletin, 2006-2007" (November 2007), p.9, available at http://www.csde.state.ct.us/public/cedar/databulletins/db_kindergarten_11_07.pdf. Numbers for 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail on July 16, 2010 and November 16, 2010. Number for 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012, 2012-2013, and 2013-2014 provided by Raymond Martin, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail on October 18, 2011, September 13, 2012, September 30, 2013, and November 13, 2014.

77. DRG numbers for 2002-2003 through 2007-2008 provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau Chief, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), in report delivered via e-mail on October 30, 2008; DRG numbers for 2008-2009 provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mails on July 16, 2010 and November 16, 2010. Numbers for 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012, and 2013-2014 provided by Raymond Martin, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on October 18, 2011, September 13, 2012, and November 13, 2014. Two notes: (1) Slightly different numbers appear in the SDE report, "Pre-K Experience by District FY 01-FY 05," available at

<http://www.csde.state.ct.us/public/cedar/cedar/index.htm>. This discrepancy can be explained by the fact that, in 2005, the “grouping” of school districts was re-organized (and accordingly renamed: ERGs (Education Reference Groups) became DRGs (District Reference Groups)). Membership in the groups changed slightly with the reassignments. The data provided by Ms. Ellsworth uses the current DRGs; the data available on the web site relies on the old groupings. See e-mail from Sarah Ellsworth, November 18, 2008. (2) Slightly different numbers also appear in the SDE Division of Assessment and Accountability, Bureau of Student Assessment report, “Kindergarten Data Bulletin, 2006-2007” (November 2007), p.9, available at http://www.csde.state.ct.us/public/cedar/databulletins/db_kindergarten_11_07.pdf. The data in this bulletin were analyzed by an outside consultant, and the data he used has since been updated by the school districts, which explains the discrepancies. The numbers provided by Ms. Ellsworth are the most up-to-date. See e-mail from Sarah Ellsworth, November 19, 2008.

78. Numbers for 2001-2002 through 2006-2007 provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau Chief, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), in report delivered via e-mail on October 30, 2008. Numbers for 2007-2008 provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail on November 16, 2010. Number for 2008-2009, 2009-2010, and 2010-2011 provided by Raymond Martin, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail on October 18, 2011 and September 13, 2012. According to an email from Raymond Martin on November 13, 2014, as of 2013-2014 SDE no longer collects student retention data.

79. For School Years 2001-2002 through 2004-2005, the state-wide averages and town level breakdowns are available on the State Department of Education (SDE) website at <http://cmt3.cmtreports.com/AcrossYears/byYear/Default.aspx>. (Note that through School Year 2004-2005, the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) was given in the fall, so test scores listed as “2004” on the SDE web site, for example, actually represent test scores for the 2004-2005 school year.) For School Years 2005-2006 through 2012-2013, state-wide averages are available on the SDE website at <https://solutions1.emetric.net/cmtpublic/CMTCCode/Report.aspx>. According to Raymond Martin, SDE, via phone on December 4, 2014, in School Year 2013-2014 schools had the option to opt-out of CMT testing and instead take part in field testing for the Smarter Balanced assessment. Thus data for CMT testing in school year 2013-2014 are unavailable. District Reference Group (DRG) breakdowns for School Years 2000-2001 through 2012-2013 were not available directly from SDE; we calculated these numbers using the town-by-town breakdowns available on the SDE website at <https://solutions1.emetric.net/cmtpublic/CMTCCode/Report.aspx> and grouping these based on the DRG list available on the SDE website at <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/LIB/sde/PDF/dgm/report1/cpse2006/ap>

[pndx.pdf](http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/LIB/sde/PDF/dgm/report1/cpse2006/ap). Averages were weighted by the number of students taking each exam in the district. Note that results are not presented for groups fewer than 20. Note that DRGs replaced the previous ERGs, but we use the current DRG delineations applied to the historical data so test scores are comparable over time.

80. For School Years 2001-2002 through 2004-2005, the state-wide averages and town level breakdowns are available on the State Department of Education (SDE) website at <http://cmt3.cmtreports.com/AcrossYears/byYear/Default.aspx>. (Note that through School Year 2004-2005, the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) was given in the fall, so test scores listed as “2004” on the SDE web site, for example, actually represent test scores for the 2004-2005 school year.) For School Years 2005-2006 through 2010-2012, state-wide averages are available on the SDE website at <https://solutions1.emetric.net/cmtpublic/CMTCCode/Report.aspx>. According to Raymond Martin, SDE, via phone on December 4, 2014, in School Year 2013-2014 schools had the option to opt-out of CMT testing and instead take part in field testing for the Smarter Balanced assessment. Thus data for CMT testing in school year 2013-2014 are unavailable. District Reference Group (DRG) breakdowns for School Years 2000-2001 through 2011-2012 were not available directly from SDE; we calculated these numbers using the town-by-town breakdowns available on the SDE website at <https://solutions1.emetric.net/cmtpublic/CMTCCode/Report.aspx> and grouping these based on the DRG list available on the SDE website at <http://www.csde.state.ct.us/public/cedar/edfacts/drgs.htm>. Averages were weighted by the number of students taking each exam in the district. Note that DRGs replaced the previous ERGs, but we use the current DRG delineations applied to the historical data so test scores are comparable over time.

Endnotes for Appendix B

1. 2008 number provided by Donald Beltrame, Connecticut Department of Social Services, via e-mail on August 2, 2010. 2009 number available at http://www.ctcare4kids.com/pdf/October_2009_Report.pdf, p.10. 2010 number available at http://www.ctcare4kids.com/pdf/Oct_2010_Report.pdf, p.10. 2011 number available at <http://www.ctcare4kids.com/pdf/october2011.pdf>, p.10. 2012 number available at <http://www.ctcare4kids.com/files/2013/03/October2012.pdf>, p.10. 2013 number available at <http://www.ctcare4kids.com/files/2013/12/October-2013.pdf>

2. Exact numbers of infants/toddlers served in state-funded centers in October 2008 and October 2009 were unavailable (e-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services, November 24, 2010), but were estimated in the following way:

October 2008

- The total number of children served by state-funded centers in October 2008 was 3,996. (E-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2008, 29% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, June 23, 2011)
- Accordingly, we calculate that 1,159 infants/toddlers were served by state-funded centers in October 2008 (29% of 3,996).

October 2009

- The total number of children in state-funded centers in October 2009 was 4,110. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2009 27% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, July 8, 2010).
- Accordingly, we calculate that 1,110 infants/toddlers were served by state-funded centers in October 2009 (27% of 4,110).

October 2010 number received from Mr. Palermino, via e-mail, May 31, 2011. October 2011, October 2012, and October 2013 number received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on April 11, 2012, March 18, 2013, and September 17, 2014 and represent children served full-time as well as children served in wrap-around care.

3. Because monthly data are unavailable (see email from Grace-Ann Whitney, State Head Start Office of Collaboration, Connecticut Department of Social Services, July 26, 2010) we do not have an exact number of children served in October of any given year. Instead, as a proxy, we use data from the relevant fiscal year, which reflects annual enrollment and thus probably overstates number of children served in any given month. Number for October 2008 obtained from Status of Child Care in Connecticut State Fiscal Year 2008-2009 (available at http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/childcare_annual_report.pdf); number for October 2009 obtained from Status of Child Care in Connecticut Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf> at 3); number for

October 2010, October 2011, and October 2013 received from Ms. Whitney, via e-mail, on October 26, 2011, July 2, 2012, and November 3, 2014.

4. Numbers provided by Kristine Mika (evaluator retained by State Department of Education), via e-mail, on August 18, 2010; August 10, 2011; and July 30, 2012. October enrollment was not available; the numbers here represent a monthly average. October 2013 number provided by Kate Parr, University of Connecticut, via e-mail on October 17, 2014.

5. These numbers were calculated as follows:

October 2008

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2008 was 1,055. (E-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2008, 29% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, June 23, 2011)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 306 infants/toddlers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2008 (29% of 1,055).

October 2009

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 1,520. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, July 6, 2010.)
- In October 2009, 27% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, July 8, 2010.)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 410 infants/toddlers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2009 (27% of 1,520).

October 2010

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 2,128. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, May 31, 2011.)
- In October 2010, 33% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, May 31, 2011.)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 702 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2010 (33% of 2,128). We subtract out this number to avoid double-counting. October 2011, October 2012, and October 2013 numbers received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on April 11, 2012, March 28, 2013, and November 5, 2014."

6. According to Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), DSS does not collect data on the number of children in Head Start who also receive Care4Kids. See e-mail from Mr. Palermino, July 6, 2010.

7. Connecticut Voices analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2010 Public Use Microdata Sample.

8. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. The PKIS collects student-level data from state and federally funded pre-K facilities that are not under the jurisdiction of a local board of education. In general, the PKIS collects information only for those children who are served by a School Readiness program, a federal or state Head Start program, a state-funded center, or the Even Start program, or who are served by funding under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). If a child is served by one of the aforementioned programs and by Care4Kids and/or by a program under the jurisdiction of a local board of education, this information is contained within the PKIS. If a child is only served by Care4Kids, he/she generally will not be included within the PKIS (that is, receive a unique identifier) but may be if his/her parents have signed a waiver. A child not receiving any state or federal subsidy also generally will not be included within the PKIS but may be if his/her parents have signed a waiver. See e-mails from Ms. Ellsworth, SDE, March 30, 2009, and April 16, 2009.

9. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. The PSIS collects information about all students being educated in a public school district, including students in charter schools, grades pre-K-12. The number here includes children served in facilities under the jurisdiction of a local board of education with funds from a variety of sources, including School Readiness, state and federal Head Start, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Title I (No Child Left Behind), and ECS. See e-mail from Ms. Ellsworth, SDE, March 30, 2009. Information also provided by Ms. Adesso, SDE, via phone conversation on November 12, 2010. Note, however, that the PSIS does not collect sufficient information to allow us to identify how many children are being served by each of these funding streams, as the PSIS does not allow school districts to identify more than one funding stream for each child. Providers must either report a single, specific funding stream for a child, or identify the child as being enrolled in "more than one program type," without specifying which programs are involved. In a scenario in which a child is enrolled in "more than one program type," it is only possible to know if School Readiness is or is not one of the funding streams. See e-mail from Ms. Ellsworth, SDE, March 30, 2009.

10. The total number of preschoolers receiving Care4Kids for 2008 was provided by Donald Beltrame, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), via e-mail, on August 2, 2010. The 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 numbers were obtained from the DSS reports, entitled "Number of Children Paid by Age Category and Service Setting," available at http://www.ctcare4kids.com/pdf/October_2009_Report.pdf (p.15); http://www.ctcare4kids.com/pdf/Oct_2010_Report.pdf (p.15); and <http://test.ctcare4kids.com/files/2012/07/october2011.pdf>; <http://www.ctcare4kids.com/files/2013/03/October2012.pdf>, p.15; and <http://www.ctcare4kids.com/files/2013/12/October-2013.pdf>, respectively.

11. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. As noted in endnote 7, a child not receiving any state or federal subsidy also generally will not be included within the PKIS but may be if his/her parents have signed a waiver. We do not want to include these children in our final count as we are attempting to calculate the number of children who are receiving some form of state subsidy. Thus, we subtract out the children who are included in the PKIS but are not in fact receiving any form of subsidy. However, we keep in children who are listed as not receiving any form of state subsidy in PKIS but are also in PSIS, as these children do receive some form of state subsidy, as indicated in PSIS.

12. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. Some children are served both in programs that are under the jurisdiction of a local board of education and programs that are not. These children receive unique identifiers in both the PKIS and PSIS. However, the PKIS does identify those children who are also contained in the PSIS, so we may subtract them out to obtain an unduplicated count. See e-mail from Ms. Ellsworth, SDE, May 17, 2009. Overlap from October 2008-2011 corrected from previously published versions of Appendix B to correct a miscalculation. In previous years, children receiving Care4Kids who were also in PSIS were not correctly subtracted from the total. These children are subtracted out twice – in the overlap and in Care4Kids -- because they show up three times, in totals for Care4Kids, PKIS, and PSIS.

13. 2008 number provided by Deb Adams, Connecticut State

Department of Education (SDE), via email, on August 10, 2010; 2009 number provided by Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services, via e-mail, on July 6, 2010; 2010, 2011, and 2012 numbers provided by Alissa Marotta, SDE, via e-mail, on June 16, 2011, March 23, 2012, and March 18, 2013. We subtract out this number to avoid double counting.

14. These numbers were calculated as follows:

October 2008

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2008 was 1,055. (E-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2008, 71% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by preschoolers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, June 23, 2011)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 749 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2008 (71% of 1,055).

October 2009

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 1,520. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, July 6, 2010.)
- In October 2009, 73% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by preschoolers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, July 8, 2010.)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 1,110 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2009 (73% of 1,520).

October 2010

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 2,128. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, May 31, 2011.)
- In October 2010, 67% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by preschoolers (e-mail, May 31, 2011).
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 1,426 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2010 (67% of 2,128). Numbers for October 2011, October 2012, and October 2013 provided by Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on April 11, 2012, March 28, 2013, and November 5, 2014. We subtract out this number to avoid double counting.

15. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. We subtract out this number to avoid double-counting.

16. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. We subtract out this number to avoid double-counting.

17. Connecticut Voices analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2010 Public Use Microdata Sample

18. Oct 08, 09, and 10 numbers received from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services [DSS], via e-mail, on August 4, 2010, and June 8, 2011. Oct 11 number received from Theresa Emery, DSS, via e-mail, on April 3, 2012. Oct 12 number received from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services [DSS], via e-mail, March 26, 2013. Oct 13 number received from Julie Bisi, Office of Early Childhood [OEC], via e-mail, August 14, 2014.

19. Exact numbers of infants/toddlers served in accredited state-funded centers in October 2008, October 2009, and October 2010 were unavailable (e-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services, November 24, 2010), but were estimated in the following way:

October 2008

- The total number of children served by state-funded centers in October 2008 was 3,996. (E-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2008, 29% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, June 23, 2011)
- Accordingly, we calculate that 1,159 infants/toddlers were served by state-funded centers in October 2008 (29% of 3,996).
- We know that as of August 2010, 97% of state-funded centers were accredited either by NAEYC or Head Start. (E-mail from Kathy Queen, Executive Director, Wallingford Community Day Care Center, August 19, 2010.)
- We assume the proportion of accredited slots is equivalent to the proportion of accredited centers. Thus we calculate that 1,124 infants/toddlers were served in accredited state-funded centers in October 2008 (97% of 1,159).

October 2009

- The total number of children in state-funded centers in October 2009 was 4,110. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2009 27% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, July 8, 2010).
- Accordingly, we calculate that 1,110 infants/toddlers were served by state-funded centers in October 2009 (27% of 4,110).
- We know that as of August 2010, 97% of state-funded centers were accredited either by NAEYC or Head Start. (E-mail from Kathy Queen, Executive Director, Wallingford Community Day

Care Center, August 19, 2010.)

- We assume the proportion of accredited slots is equivalent to the proportion of accredited centers. Thus we calculate that 1,077 infants/toddlers were served in accredited state-funded centers in October 2009 (97% of 1,110).

October 2010

- 1,235 infants/toddlers were served in state-funded centers in October 2010. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, May 31, 2011.)
- We know that as of August 2010, 97% of state-funded centers were accredited either by NAEYC or Head Start. (E-mail from Kathy Queen, Executive Director, Wallingford Community Day Care Center, August 19, 2010.)
- We assume the proportion of accredited slots is equivalent to the proportion of accredited centers. Thus we calculate that 1,198 infants/toddlers were served in accredited state-funded centers in October 2009 (97% of 1,235).

October 2011 and October 2012 numbers received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on April 11, 2012, and March 18, 2013, and represents children served full-time as well as children served in wrap-around care. Ms. Marotta notes that as of October 2011 all state-funded child care centers were accredited.

20. Because monthly data are unavailable (see email from Grace-Ann Whitney, State Head Start Office of Collaboration, Connecticut Department of Social Services, July 26, 2010) we do not have an exact number of children served in October of any given year. Instead, as a proxy, we use data from the relevant fiscal year, which reflects annual enrollment and thus probably overstates number of children served in any given month. Number for October 2008 obtained from Status of Child Care in Connecticut State Fiscal Year 2008-2009 (available at http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/childcare_annual_report.pdf); number for October 2009 obtained from Status of Child Care in Connecticut State Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (available at <http://www.ct.gov/dss/lib/dss/sfy0910.pdf> at 3); number for October 2010, October 2011, and October 2013 received from Ms. Whitney, via e-mail, on October 26, 2011, July 2, 2012, and October 31, 2014.

21. All state-funded centers which are accredited receive the Care4Kids accreditation bonus. Children in state-funded centers receiving Care4Kids are thus included in both our number of children receiving the Care4Kids accreditation bonus and in the number of children served in accredited state-funded centers. In order to avoid double-counting, we must subtract these children out. These numbers were calculated as follows:

October 2008

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2008 was 1,055. (E-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2008, 29% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, June 23, 2011)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 306 infants/toddlers were served by both state-funded centers and

Care4Kids in October 2008 (29% of 1,055).

- We know that as of August 2010, 97% of state-funded centers were accredited either by NAEYC or Head Start. (E-mail from Kathy Queen, Executive Director, Wallingford Community Day Care Center, August 19, 2010.)

- We assume the proportion of children being served by Care4Kids in accredited slots is equivalent to the proportion of accredited centers. Thus we calculate that 297 infants/toddlers were served by accredited state-funded centers and Care4Kids (97% of 306).

October 2009

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 1,520. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, July 6, 2010.)
- In October 2009, 27% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, July 8, 2010.)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 410 infants/toddlers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2009 (27% of 1,520).
- We know that as of August 2010, 97% of state-funded centers were accredited either by NAEYC or Head Start. (E-mail from Kathy Queen, Executive Director, Wallingford Community Day Care Center, August 19, 2010.)

- We assume the proportion of children being served by Care4Kids in accredited slots is equivalent to the proportion of accredited centers. Thus we calculate that 398 infants/toddlers were served by accredited state-funded centers and Care4Kids (97% of 410).

October 2010

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 2,128. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, May 31, 2011.)
- In October 2010, 33% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by infants/toddlers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, May 31, 2011.)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 702 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2010 (33% of 2,128).
- We know that as of August 2010, 97% of state-funded centers were accredited either by NAEYC or Head Start. (E-mail from Kathy Queen, Executive Director, Wallingford Community Day Care Center, August 19, 2010.)

- We assume the proportion of children being served by Care4Kids in accredited slots is equivalent to the proportion of accredited centers. Thus we calculate that 681 infants/toddlers were served by accredited state-funded centers and Care4Kids (97% of 702). (Note that, unlike state-funded centers, Early Head Start programs do not qualify for the Care4Kids accreditation bonus so there is no double-counting to adjust for. E-mail from Mr. Palermino, December 3, 2010.)

October 2011, October 2012, and October 2013 numbers received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on April 11, 2012, March 18, 2013, and September 17, 2014.

22. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. The PKIS collects student-level data from state and federally funded pre-K facilities that are not under the jurisdiction of a local board of education. In general, the PKIS collects information only for those children who are served by a School Readiness program, a federal or state Head Start program, a state-funded center, or the Even Start program, or who are served by funding under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). If a child is served by one of the aforementioned programs and by Care4Kids and/or by a program under the jurisdiction of a local board of education, this information is contained within the PKIS. If a child is only served by Care4Kids, he/she generally will not be included within the PKIS (that is, receive a unique identifier) but may be if his/her parents have signed a waiver. A child not receiving any state or federal subsidy also generally will not be included within the PKIS but may be if his/her parents have signed a waiver. See e-mails from Ms. Ellsworth, SDE, March 30, 2009, and April 16, 2009.

23. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. As noted in endnote 20, a child not receiving any state or federal subsidy also generally will not be included within the PKIS but may be if his/her parents have signed a waiver. We do not want to include these children in our final count as we are attempting to calculate the number of children who are receiving some form of state subsidy. Thus, we subtract out the children who are included in the PKIS but are not in fact receiving any form of subsidy.

24. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014. We subtract this number out because we have no guarantee that a

child contained within the PKIS who is receiving no other subsidy is being served by an accredited program. Moreover, we count children receiving Care4Kids in accredited settings in Section C, below, so, to the extent that any of the children listed in the PKIS as receiving only Care4Kids are in accredited care, they will be captured in Section C and must be subtracted out here to avoid double-counting.

25. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014.

26. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014.

27. 2008 and 2009 numbers provided by Karen Adesso, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on July 16, 2010; 2010 data provided by Sarah Ellsworth, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 11, 2011; 2011 and 2012 data provided by Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. 2013 data provided by Marquelle Middleton, Bureau of Data Collection, Research & Evaluation, SDE, via e-mail, on May 19, 2014.

28. This number does not include children being served in public schools by magnet preschools, with local or ECS funds, or under IDEA. Some of these programs are likely high-quality (we note that many of these programs require teachers to have, at a minimum, a college degree.) However, we look here only at programs that have received national accreditation through a rigorous process.

29. 2008 number provided by Deb Adams, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via email on August 10, 2010; 2009 number provided by Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services, via e-mail, on July 6, 2010; 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 numbers provided by Alissa Marotta, SDE, via e-mail, on June 16, 2011; March 23, 2012; March 18, 2013; and September 17, 2014. These children are captured by the PKIS or PSIS and so we subtract them out to avoid double counting.

30. 2008 number provided by Deb Adams, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via email on August 10, 2010; 2009 number provided by Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services, via e-mail, on July 6, 2010; 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 numbers provided by Alissa Marotta, SDE, via e-mail, on June 16, 2011; March 23, 2012; March 18, 2013; and September 17, 2014 . These children are captured by the PKIS or PSIS and so we subtract them out to avoid double counting.

31. These numbers were calculated as follows:

October 2008

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2008 was 1,055. (E-mail from Peter Palermino, Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS), November 24, 2010.)
- In October 2008, 71% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by preschoolers. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, June 23, 2011)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 749 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2008 (71% of 1,055).

October 2009

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 1,520. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, July 6, 2010.)
- In October 2009, 73% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by preschoolers . (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, July 8, 2010.)
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 1,110 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2009 (73% of 1,520).

October 2010

- The total number of children in state-funded centers also receiving Care4Kids in October 2009 was 2,128. (E-mail from Mr. Palermino, DSS, May 31, 2011.)
- In October 2010, 67% of slots in state-funded centers were filled by preschoolers (e-mail, May 31, 2011).
- We assume that the breakdown by age of children who are served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids parallels the breakdown of total slots. Thus, we calculate that 1,426 preschoolers were served by both state-funded centers and Care4Kids in October 2010 (67% of 2,128).

October 2011, October 2012, and October 2013 numbers received from Alissa Marotta, State Department of Education, via e-mail, on April 11, 2012, March 18, 2013, and September 17, 2014. We subtract out this number to avoid double counting.

32. See total for Section A, above.

33. See total for Section B, above.

34. See total for Section C, above.

Endnotes for Appendix C

1. Data provided by Karen Adesso, Charles Martie, and Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 26, 2010; October 5, 2011, November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. Data provided are point-in-time data from October 1, 2008; October 1, 2009; October 1, 2010; October 1, 2011; and October 2012. Note that children are identified by their town of residence, not the town where their Head Start program is located. Note also that demographic categories list absolute numbers of children, while the male/female categories list percentages of children. When ** appears in a category, it means that between one and four children were identified as being in that category, but the exact number of children was not provided due to privacy concerns.

2. Data provided by Karen Adesso, Charles Martie, and Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 26, 2010; October 5, 2011, November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. Data provided are point-in-time data from October 1, 2008; October 1, 2009; October 1, 2010; October 1, 2011; and October 1, 2012. Note that children are identified by their town of residence, not the town where their Head Start program is located. Note also that demographic categories list absolute numbers of children, while the male/female categories list percentages of children. When ** appears in a category, it means that between one and four children were identified as being in that category, but the exact number of children was not provided due to privacy concerns.

3. Data provided by Karen Adesso, Charles Martie, and Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 26, 2010; October 5, 2011, November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. Data provided are point-in-time data from October 1, 2008; October 1, 2009; October 1, 2010; October 1, 2011; and October 1, 2012. Note that for 2008 and 2009, children are identified by their town of residence, not the town where their School Readiness program is located, but for 2010 and 2011 the reverse is true. Note also that demographic categories list absolute numbers of children, while the male/female categories list percentages of children. When ** appears in a category, it means that between one and four children were identified as being in that category, but the exact number of children was not provided due to privacy concerns.

4. Data provided by Karen Adesso, Charles Martie, and Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 26, 2010; October 5, 2011, November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. Data provided are point-in-time data from October 1, 2008; October 1, 2009; October 1, 2010; October 1, 2011; and October 1, 2012. Note that for 2008

and 2009, children are identified by their town of residence, not the town where their School Readiness program is located, but for 2010 and 2011 the reverse is true. Note also that demographic categories list absolute numbers of children, while the male/female categories list percentages of children. When ** appears in a category, it means that between one and four children were identified as being in that category, but the exact number of children was not provided due to privacy concerns.

5. Data available listed under “Number of Children Paid by Age Category and Service Setting” (June 2009, June 2010, June 2011, June 2012, and June 2013) (available at http://www.ctcare4kids.com/ct_reports.html).

6. Data provided by Karen Adesso, Charles Martie, and Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Bureau of Data Collection, Research, & Evaluation, Connecticut State Department of Education (SDE), via e-mail, on August 26, 2010; October 5, 2011, November 2, 2012, and June 12, 2013. Data provided are point-in-time data from October 1, 2008; October 1, 2009; October 1, 2010; October 1, 2011; and October 1, 2012. Note that for 2008 and 2009, children are identified by their town of residence, not the town where their School Readiness program is located, but for 2010 and 2011 the reverse is true. Note also that demographic categories list absolute numbers of children, while the male/female categories list percentages of children. When ** appears in a category, it means that between one and four children were identified as being in that category, but the exact number of children was not provided due to privacy concerns.

7. Connecticut Voices analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2011, 2012, and 2013, 5 year estimate, Table B17001.